**Dissertationes Forestales 71** 

# UV-induced NO<sub>y</sub> emissions in gas-exchange chambers enclosing Scots pine shoots: an analysis on their origin and significance

Maarit Raivonen

Department of Forest Ecology Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry University of Helsinki

Academic Dissertation

To be presented with the permission of the Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry of the University of Helsinki, for public discussion in Lecture Hall B2, Building of Forest Sciences, Latokartanonkaari 7 on 10th of October 2008, at 12 o'clock noon. *Title of dissertation*: UV-induced NO<sub>y</sub> emissions in gas-exchange chambers enclosing Scots pine shoots: an analysis on their origin and significance

Author: Maarit Raivonen

Dissertationes Forestales 71

Thesis Supervisors: Professor Pertti Hari Department of Forest Ecology, University of Helsinki, Finland Professor Markku Kulmala Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Finland

Pre-examiners: Dr. Anni Reissell Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Finland Professor Jed P. Sparks Stable Isotope Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA

*Opponent:* Professor Klaus Butterbach-Bahl Institute for Meteorology and Climate Research, Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Germany

ISSN 1795-7389 ISBN 978-951-651-230-6 (PDF)

(2008)

Publishers: Finnish Society of Forest Science Finnish Forest Research Institute Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry of the University of Helsinki Faculty of Forest Sciences of the University of Joensuu

*Editorial Office:* Finnish Society of Forest Science P.O. Box 18, FI-01301 Vantaa, Finland http://www.metla.fi/dissertationes **Raivonen, M.** 2008. UV-induced NO<sub>y</sub> emissions in gas-exchange chambers enclosing Scots pine shoots: an analysis on their origin and significance. Dissertationes Forestales 71. 50 p. Available at http://www.metla.fi/dissertationes/df71.htm

#### ABSTRACT

It is essential to have a thorough understanding of the sources and sinks of oxidized nitrogen  $(NO_y)$  in the atmosphere, since it has a strong influence on the tropospheric chemistry and the eutrophication of ecosystems. One unknown component in the balance of gaseous oxidized nitrogen is vegetation. Plants absorb nitrogenous species from the air via the stomata, but it is not clear whether plants can also emit them at low ambient concentrations. The possible emissions are small and difficult to measure.

The aim of this thesis was to analyse an observation made in southern Finland at the SMEAR II station: solar ultraviolet radiation (UV) induced  $NO_y$  emissions in chambers measuring the gas exchange of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) shoots. Both measuring and modelling approaches were used in the study. The measurements were performed under noncontrolled field conditions at low ambient  $NO_y$  concentrations.

The chamber blank i.e. artefact  $NO_y$  emissions from the chamber walls, was dependent on the UV irradiance and increased with time after renewing the Teflon film on chamber surfaces. The contribution of each pine shoot to the total  $NO_y$  emissions in the chambers was determined by testing whether the emissions decrease when the shoots are removed from their chambers. Emissions did decrease, but only when the chamber interior was exposed to UV radiation. It was concluded that also the pine shoots emit  $NO_y$ . The possible effects of transpiration on the chamber blank are discussed in the summary part of the thesis, based on previously unpublished data.

The possible processes underlying the UV-induced NO<sub>y</sub> emissions were reviewed. Surface reactions were more likely than metabolic processes. Photolysis of nitrate deposited on the needles may have generated the NO<sub>y</sub> emissions; the measurements supported this hypothesis. In that case, the emissions apparently would consist mainly of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), nitric oxide (NO) and nitrous acid (HONO). Within studies on NO<sub>y</sub> exchange of plants, the gases most frequently studied are NO<sub>2</sub> and NO (=NO<sub>x</sub>). In the present work, the implications of the emissions for the NO<sub>x</sub> exchange of plane were analysed with a model including both NO<sub>y</sub> emissions and NO<sub>y</sub> absorption. The model suggested that if the emissions exist, pines can act as an NO<sub>x</sub> source rather than a sink, even under relatively high ambient concentrations.

Keywords: NO<sub>x</sub> deposition, compensation point, nitrate photolysis, chamber blank

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My first step towards this thesis were taken in spring 1999 when I discussed possible themes for my master's-thesis-to-be with Prof. Pepe Hari. Having said my interests were more with solar radiation than soil, Pepe proposed that I'd start to look at UV-induced  $NO_x$  emissions from trees that had lately been observed at the SMEAR II station. At that time I had no idea what it meant, but I said yes and gradually got to understand what is going on in the chambers — occasionally not. But in any case, here we now are, and my doctoral thesis is completed, which is very good!

I give my warmest thanks to Pepe for taking me into his group, supervising this work, being always ready to discuss, and for teaching me to think scientifically. I also thank my other supervisor, Prof. Markku Kulmala, for the interest he has shown in my work and for supporting it from the physics side of the research group in many ways.

Many thanks go to my other co-authors for co-operation: Prof. Timo Vesala for the guidance and help during these years; Prof. Kim Pilegaard and Prof. Bill Munger for their input for Study I; Petri Keronen for taking care of the gas analysers and data and for explaining everything about them; Prof. Boris Bonn for sharing his expertise on chemistry; Dr. María José Sanz for letting me visit CEAM in Valencia and contributing to my experiments; Dr. Nuria Altimir for sharing with me the non-CO<sub>2</sub>-corner of the Forest Ecology group and helping with numerous things; and Doc. Liisa Pirjola for answering my questions related to chemistry and for patiently commenting several times on study IV.

This thesis would not exist without those people who have constructed and maintained the necessary instruments: many thanks to Dr. h. c. Toivo Pohja, Erkki Siivola, Veijo Hiltunen and other workers at SMEAR II. Special thanks to Dr. Michael Boy for radiospectrometer measurements and for using his weekend to calculate  $NO_x$  concentrations for the thesis summary. ¡Gracias! to everyone at CEAM for making my stay there pleasant, and for all the help with my experiments.

Thanks to all my colleagues and friends at the Forest Ecology and Physics departments for being such nice company at everyday work, field work in Hyde, courses and seminars, lunch and freetime: Pasi, Liisa, Eitsu, Martti, Albert, Jaana, Jukka, Mari, Tanja, Taina, Sanna, Saija, Saara and many others... I am grateful to the personell at the Department of Forest Ecology also for helping with practical matters.

I thank the pre-examiners Prof. Jed P. Sparks and Dr. Anni Reissell for their valuable comments that resulted in improvement of this thesis. This work was financed by the Academy of Finland, Helsingin Sanomain 100-vuotissäätiö and the Alfred Kordelin Foundation, and all are gratefully acknowledged.

My counterbalance for research and studies has been singing, during the last few years under the guidance of Ritva Laamanen. Thanks a lot for the refreshing singing classes!

I thank my parents, Aini and Kari, for their support and interest shown in my studies, starting from my very first day at school, some 25 years ago. My sister Annukka and my friends have strongly contributed to this work by being in my life all these years. Thanks to our son Riku. Without the break and distance from oxidized nitrogen that his arrival provided I would probably never have finished this thesis. And finally, I thank my dear Mikko who has motivated me to carry on by reminding me how lucky I am to have such a meaningful and interesting job.

# LIST OF ORIGINAL ARTICLES

The thesis is based on the following research articles which are referred to in the text by their Roman numerals:

- I Pertti Hari, Maarit Raivonen, Timo Vesala, J. William Munger, Kim Pilegaard, Markku Kulmala. 2003. Ultraviolet light and leaf emission of NO<sub>x</sub>. *Nature*, 422: 134.
- II **Maarit Raivonen**, Petri Keronen, Timo Vesala, Markku Kulmala, Pertti Hari. 2003. Measuring shoot-level  $NO_x$  flux in field conditions: the role of blank chambers. *Boreal Environment Research* 8: 445 455.
- III Maarit Raivonen, Boris Bonn, María José Sanz, Timo Vesala, Markku Kulmala, Pertti Hari. 2006. UV-induced NO<sub>y</sub> emissions from Scots pine: Could they originate from photolysis of deposited HNO<sub>3</sub>? *Atmospheric Environment* 40: 6201-6213.
- IV Maarit Raivonen, Timo Vesala, Liisa Pirjola, Nuria Altimir, Petri Keronen, Markku Kulmala, Pertti Hari. Compensation point of NO<sub>x</sub> exchange: net result of NO<sub>x</sub> consumption and production. Submitted manuscript.

Author's contribution:

- I M. Raivonen participated in writing the paper and she was responsible for the experimental work and data analysis.
- II M. Raivonen was the principal author of the article and she was responsible for the experimental work and data analysis, except the transmittance measurements of quartz and Plexiglas.
- III M. Raivonen initiated the study and she was the principal author. She was responsible for the experimental work and data analysis, except implementing the rinsing experiment and calculating the  $CO_2$  fluxes.
- IV M. Raivonen was the principal author of the study. She was responsible for the experimental work and data analysis, except estimating the stomatal conductances.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	3
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	4
LIST OF ORIGINAL ARTICLES	5
1. INTRODUCTION	7
1.1 Background	7
1.2 NO <sub>y</sub> fluxes on plant leaves	11
Basic nitrogen metabolism in plants	11
NO <sub>y</sub> deposition	11
NO <sub>y</sub> emission	12
1.3 Measuring leaf-level NO <sub>y</sub> fluxes	13
1.4 Ultraviolet radiation	15
2. AIMS OF THE PRESENT STUDY	16
3. MATERIAL AND METHODS	. 17
3.1 Measurements	17
Chamber system	18
Instrumentation for measuring the NO <sub>v</sub> concentrations	19
Radiation measurements	19
Generating a known water vapour flux in the chamber	21
3.2 Methods of data analysis	. 21
Determining the total flux in a chamber	21
Analysing the relationship between solar radiation and $NO_{y}$ fluxes	22
Blank correction procedure	22
Analyzing the $NO_{y}$ exchange of a pine shoot	23
4. RESULTS	24
4.1 The phenomenon	25
4.2 Technical issues	27
$NO_{y}$ fluxes in the empty chamber	.27
Effect of water flux on the NO <sub>v</sub> flux	. 28
4.3 Origin of the emissions	29
Association with plant metabolism	29
Effect of cleaning the pine shoot	31
4.4 Net exchange of NO <sub>v</sub>	33
Models used in the analysis	. 33
Results of the analysis	.34
5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	.36
Measuring NO <sub>v</sub> fluxes with chambers	. 36
What is the origin of the UV-induced NO <sub>x</sub> emissions?	37
Composition of the emitted NO <sub>y</sub>	.40
Implications for NO <sub>x</sub> exchange in plants	. 41
Atmospheric implications	42
Conclusions	43
	-
REFERENCES	.45

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Background

Nitrogen is a very common component in the atmosphere: about 78% of the air consists of dinitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) molecules. This N<sub>2</sub> is chemically inert, meaning that it does not react with anything under common atmospheric conditions. However, in certain circumstances, such as in the metabolism of nitrogen-fixing micro organisms or in some industrial processes, N<sub>2</sub> can be fixed. These fixed, reduced and oxidized nitrogen species are now ready to participate in atmospheric chemistry. The main reduced form of nitrogen is ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>), which contributes to the fertilizing nitrogen input into ecosystems and the formation of atmospheric aerosol particles. However, a much more central role in atmospheric chemistry is played by oxidized nitrogen. The main primary product of oxidation is nitric oxide (NO), with small portion of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>). Once in the air, NO oxidizes further to NO<sub>2</sub> so easily that NO<sub>2</sub> is more abundant in the normal atmosphere. These species, together referred to as nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), are among the key components of tropospheric chemistry.

Lightning is a major natural source of tropospheric  $NO_x$ . In the extreme heat of the lightning channel, N<sub>2</sub> and oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) molecules dissociate and form NO (Goldenbaum and Dickerson 1993). The functioning of some soil microbes generates NO as a by-product, the principal processes being nitrification (oxidation of ammonium ions (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) to nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)) and denitrification (reduction of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) to N<sub>2</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>O). The NO emission rate and magnitude are dependent on soil nitrogen availability, soil moisture and soil temperature, and the emissions are highest from cultivated fertilized soils, but low from forests and other natural systems (Ludwig et al. 2001). Anthropogenic NO sources include fuel combustion and biomass burning. Within these, the temperatures can be high enough to dissociate N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>, and NO is also released when the burning materials contain fixed nitrogen (Logan 1983). In addition to these, the troposphere receives a small input of NO<sub>x</sub> from the stratosphere.

Table 1 shows the estimated global  $NO_x$  emissions for the years 1860, 1993 and 2050, according to Galloway et al. (2004). Before industrialization and until the 19<sup>th</sup> century, lightning was the most important  $NO_x$  source. However, during the 20<sup>th</sup> century anthropogenic emissions, especially from fossil fuel burning, increased remarkably, while soil as a source has also grown in importance, because soil fertilization (human-made and natural, i.e. originating from increased deposition) increases emissions.

Tab	le '	<ol> <li>Past, present and</li> </ol>	l future global	NO <sub>x</sub> emissions	(Tg I	N yr⁻'	') in	(Galloway	∕ et a	l. 2004	4)
-----	------	---------------------------------------	-----------------	---------------------------	-------	--------	-------	-----------	--------	---------	----

	1860	1993	2050
Lightning	5.4	5.4	5.4
Soils	2.9	5.5	8
Energy prod. (incl. fossil fuel burning)	0.6	27.2	57
Biomass burning	3.6	7.2	10.5
Stratospheric injection	0.6	0.6	0.6
TOTAL	13.1	45.9	81.5



**Figure 1.** Divergence of NO<sub>x</sub> emission predictions based on different scenarios by the IPCC (Fig. 5–9 of Special report on emission scenarios, IPCC, 2000). Reprinted in black and white, using a different caption with permission from the IPCC Secretariat.

Galloway et al. (2004) predicted that emissions will continue to increase, but contrasting estimates exist. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change IPCC (2000) compared several different emission scenarios (Fig. 1). All of them projected increasing emissions until the year 2020, after which they diverge, mainly depending on how the future of fossil fuel use is seen in each scenario. None of the scenarios included emissions from soils. The industrialized regions of the world, such as the USA and Europe, have already reduced their NO<sub>x</sub> emissions; e.g., Europe reduced its emissions almost 35% from 1990 to 2005 (European Environmental Agency (EEA) 2007). However, emissions are continuing to increase strongly in the developing countries (IPCC 2007).

In the atmosphere,  $NO_x$  species become oxidized further to nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>), which is the main oxidation product, nitrous acid (HONO), the nitrate radical (NO<sub>3</sub>), dinitrogen pentoxide (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and various organic nitrogen species, such as peroxyacyl nitrates (PANs, RC(O)OONO<sub>2</sub>), among others (Fig. 2). The group of reactive nitrogen is often referred to as NO<sub>y</sub>. NO<sub>x</sub> as well as NO<sub>y</sub> species are trace gases: they make up less than 1% of the earth's atmosphere, and the concentrations are not high in relative values. The usual NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations at rural sites are only a few parts per billion (ppb), being well below 1 ppb in the most remote areas, while in urban regions the concentrations are generally at tens of ppb and the peak values in large cities have approached 1000 ppb (International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS) 1997, Seinfeld and Pandis 1998). Of all the NO<sub>y</sub> species, NO and NO<sub>2</sub> are the ones present in the highest concentrations close to major anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> sources, i.e. in urban areas. However, in remote and rural locations and in aged air masses, the relative importance of the more oxidized NO<sub>y</sub> species increases.



Figure 2. NO<sub>y</sub> chemistry according to Seinfeld and Pandis (1998).

PAN especially is relatively abundant in rural areas. It dissociates by heat, but under cool conditions it is in general very stable and can thus transport  $NO_x$  in the upper troposphere over long distances. After downward mixing, it can also release active  $NO_x$  in rural areas (Moxim et al. 1996).

One reason for the interest in tropospheric  $NO_x$  concentrations is that abundant  $NO_x$  directly harms living organisms.  $NO_x$  exposure can cause visible injury, inhibition of photosynthesis and reduction of growth in plants, and lung structural alterations and problems with lung functioning in animals and humans, especially asthmatics (IPCS 1997, Wellburn 1990). However, these effects have mostly been found in concentrations of several hundred ppb, which do not usually occur in the atmosphere. When the concentrations of  $NO_x$  are at their usual atmospheric levels, their importance lies in participation in essential atmospheric chemical reactions.

Nitrogen oxides directly affect the concentrations of tropospheric ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and the hydroxyl radical (OH), which are two important oxidants in the atmosphere (Fig. 3). The net production rate of O<sub>3</sub> is dependent nonlinearly on the NO<sub>x</sub> concentration present: whether the increase in NO<sub>x</sub> produces or destroys O<sub>3</sub> is dependent on the relative concentrations of pollutant gases in the air. In rural areas, NO<sub>x</sub> increase typically enhances O<sub>3</sub> production, while in urban areas it may lead to decrease in O<sub>3</sub> (Seinfeld and Pandis 1998). OH radicals are produced via three routes that are all associated with NO<sub>x</sub>: reaction of water vapour with electronically excited oxygen atoms that originate from O<sub>3</sub> photolysis, HONO photolysis and reaction of hydroperoxy (HO<sub>2</sub>) radicals with NO. NO<sub>x</sub> also contributes to the formation of nitrate-aerosols. The atmospheric balance of NO<sub>x</sub> is thus relevant to climate change, since O<sub>3</sub> is a greenhouse gas, OH radicals reduce methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) which is an even stronger greenhouse gas and the nitrate aerosols have a cooling effect on the earth (Kulmala et al. 1995). The net effect of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions on warming has



Figure 3. Schematic of the association between  $NO_x$ ,  $O_3$  and OH cycles.

not yet been determined, due to the complexity of these three different processes (IPCC 2007).

 $NO_x$  disappear from the air mainly in wet and dry deposition of HNO<sub>3</sub> and particulate nitrate onto terrestrial surfaces. HNO<sub>3</sub> is one of the most water-soluble atmospheric gases, and after the dissolution to water that is present on all atmospheric surfaces (Sumner et al. 2004) it dissociates to  $NO_3^-$ .  $NO_3^-$  deposition has a major effect on Earth, since nitrogen is the most important plant nutrient. In most terrestrial and also many oceanic ecosystems, net primary production is limited by nitrogen availability, and increased growth due to increasing nitrogen deposition ( $NO_3^-$  together with  $NH_3$ ) was observed (Holland et al. 1997, Magnani et al. 2007). Hence, nitrogen deposition is crucial to climate change, because it also affects the carbon (C) cycle (Gruber and Galloway 2008). This eutrophication also has negative effects; e.g. it can alter the species composition, favouring those with high nitrogen-demand, and in aquatic ecosystems the excess growth can lead to lack of  $O_2$  (Vitousek et al. 1997). Nitrate deposition is harmful because it is also acidic; acid rain can injure, for instance, conifer needles (Bäck and Huttunen 1992). When negative  $NO_3^-$  ions move through soils, they take nutrient cations along, which again increases the leaching of toxic aluminium (Al).

It has been suggested that, in fact, deposition of HNO<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> on Earth's surfaces may not be an irreversible sink for NO<sub>x</sub>. For instance, Honrath et al. (1999) and Dibb et al. (2002) observed NO<sub>x</sub> and HONO emission from snow in sunlight irradiation, and this was attributed to photolysis of nitrate. The emissions were considered an important source of reactive nitrogen in areas with otherwise low pollutant levels, such as the snow-covered and remote polar areas. Grannas et al. (2007) showed that the atmospheric effects of these emissions occurring at a time when the global warming is changing Earth's cryosphere, can be significant. Zhou et al. (2003) also demonstrated production of NO<sub>x</sub> and HONO from HNO<sub>3</sub> photolysis on glass surfaces and suggested that these reactions may also occur on other terrestrial surfaces, such as on vegetation.

The biosphere is one of the uncertain components in the atmospheric balance of gaseous  $NO_x$ . It is known that soils can emit NO, and the factors affecting the emissions are understood to some degree. However, it is not known how vegetation covering the soil

contributes to the role that an ecosystem as a whole plays in the total  $NO_x$  balance (Lerdau et al. 2000). Plants can absorb  $NO_x$  via their stomata, at least at ambient  $NO_x$  concentrations above the background levels (e.g. Sparks et al. 2001), but it is not clear what they do when the concentration approaches zero. Some studies have shown that plants can then emit  $NO_x$  (e.g. Wildt et al. 1997). How frequent this really is remains unknown, partly because measuring the  $NO_x$  exchange of plants at very low concentrations means small fluxes that are near the detection limits of instrumentations. However, the possible  $NO_x$  emission from plants is definitely an interesting phenomenon. Over large vegetated areas in the world the atmospheric  $NO_x$  concentrations are usually very low. Thus, the vegetation may act as an  $NO_x$  source, and not remove  $NO_x$  emitted by the soil.

#### 1.2 NO<sub>v</sub> fluxes on plant leaves

#### Basic nitrogen metabolism in plants

Nitrogen is the most important plant nutrient, since plants need it quantitatively much more than other nutrients. Nitrogen is used, for instance, in proteins and nucleic acids. Plants take up nitrogen via their roots, mainly as  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  (Tischner 2000).  $NH_4^+$  can be assimilated as such into different organic compounds, but  $NO_3^-$  must first be reduced to  $NH_4^+$ . The enzymes taking care of the reduction are nitrate reductase (NaR), which reduces  $NO_3^-$  into nitrite ( $NO_2^-$ ), and nitrite reductase (NiR) that transforms  $NO_2^-$  to  $NH_3^-$ :

$$NO_3^- \xrightarrow{NaR} NO_2^- \xrightarrow{NiR} NH_3$$

 $NO_3^-$  reduction occurs either in the roots or in the shoots, and  $NO_3^-$  can be stored before use in several parts of the plant. However, when the supply of  $NO_3^-$  is low, which is usually the case in forests, the reduction and assimilation already occur in the roots.

#### NO<sub>v</sub> deposition

Hill (1971) showed that plants remove nitrogenous pollutant gases, especially  $NO_x$ , from the air via their stomata. Nitrogen of the gaseous  $NO_x$  can be assimilated and utilized in plant metabolism (e.g. Yoneyama and Sasakawa 1979), and the same seems to apply to HONO (Schimang et al. 2006).

Gas molecules move from the air into the plant by diffusion. The flow of a gas through plant stomata is controlled by the degree of stomatal opening and the concentration difference between the ambient air and substomatal cavities (e.g. Nobel 1991). The solubility of the gas into the cell wall liquid and rates of other reactions consuming the dissolution products determine how rapidly the gas disappears. In other words, these factors determine how close the external concentration is to the concentration inside the stomata. They are often referred to as the internal or mesophyllic resistance of the flux.

Of all the  $NO_y$  species,  $NO_2$  is the one most widely studied in the context of stomatal uptake. Its uptake rate is dependent on the degree of stomatal opening (e.g. Rondón and Granat 1994, Geßler et al. 2002), but observations on the relationship between external and internal  $NO_2$  concentrations are diverse. In some cases, there have been no signs of limitation other than the stomatal control: with a constant degree of stomatal opening the  $NO_2$  uptake flux was dependent linearly on the external  $NO_2$  concentration (Rondón et al.

1993, Rondón and Granat 1994, Geßler et al. 2002). In other studies, the fluxes were smaller than had been predicted only on the basis of external concentration, indicating the internal reactions to be so slow that the  $NO_2$  concentration inside the stomata could not be considered zero (Johansson 1987, Thoene et al. 1991, Rondón et al. 1993, Thoene et al. 1996, Teklemariam and Sparks 2006).

There are strong candidates for these internal reactions. Ramge et al. (1993), using a mathematical model, determined whether apoplastic antioxidants, especially ascorbic acid, could contribute to the reduction of  $NO_2$  after it entered the stomata. The experimental observations on  $NO_2$  uptake rates, found in the literature, fitted with their model when it included the antioxidants. This theory was supported by Teklemariam and Sparks (2006), who found that higher leaf ascorbate concentrations were associated with higher leaf  $NO_2$  uptake rates in several plant species. Furthermore, Eller and Sparks (2006) found that the degree of stomatal opening, apoplastic ascorbate concentrations and NaR activity explained the  $NO_2$  deposition fluxes fairly well. NaR apparently controlled the flux by determining how rapidly the nitrate, formed within the dissolution, disappeared from the cell wall. The authors suggested that the observed variation in the  $NO_2$  uptake rates, or in the relationship between external and internal  $NO_2$  concentrations, could have originated from differences in NaR concentrations in different plant species.

The leaf surfaces can also be a small  $NO_2$  sink (Hanson et al. 1989, Geßler et al. 2002). Mechanisms suggested to be responsible for this nonstomatal absorption include irreversible adsorption and/or cuticle penetration (Lendzian and Kerstiens 1988), bacterial activity (Papen et al. 2002) and absorption by thin water films on leaf surfaces (Thoene et al. 1996, Weber and Rennenberg 1996). However, observations on the effect of relative humidity (RH), in which the thickness of water films is dependent, on non-stomatal absorption, are not consistent: sometimes the RH had an effect (Thoene et al. 1996, Weber and Rennenberg 1996), sometimes not (Grennfelt et al. 1983, Johansson 1987, Rondón et al. 1993).

There are also studies on fluxes of NO<sub>y</sub> species other than NO<sub>2</sub>. Uptake of NO into the stomata has generally been negligible or clearly lower than that of NO<sub>2</sub>, apparently because the solubility of NO in water is lower (Johansson 1987, Hereid and Monson 2001, Teklemariam and Sparks 2006). Although the fluxes are small, they are apparently controlled by the degree of stomatal opening and ambient concentration (Teklemariam and Sparks 2006). PANs are also taken up by plants and the degree of stomatal opening controls the flux (Hill 1971, Sparks et al. 2003, Teklemariam and Sparks 2004). The absorption is not as large as for NO<sub>2</sub>; Teklemariam and Sparks (2004) showed its magnitude is closer to the values measured for NO. PAN is mainly taken up by the stomata, not adsorbed by the surface (Doskey et al. 2004). In the only study on HONO uptake (Schimang et al. 2006), HONO uptake was significant, proportional to the ambient HONO concentration and linearly related to stomatal conductance. HNO<sub>3</sub> apparently forms deposits mainly on the leaf surfaces, since it is a very reactive species, and deposits effectively on every surface it contacts (Seinfeld and Pandis 1998, Sievering et al. 2001).

#### NO<sub>y</sub> emission

Of the NO<sub>y</sub> species, only NO<sub>x</sub> also appears to be emitted from plants. However, it remains a controversial issue: emission of NO<sub>x</sub> has been suggested and also observed, but not always. It is generally believed that at ambient concentrations below a certain threshold, i.e. the compensation point, plants can emit NO and NO<sub>2</sub>. However, not all canopy-level

measurements support this idea: vegetation also appeared as an  $NO_x$  sink at low concentrations (Jacob and Wofsy 1990, Kirkman et al. 2002).

At the leaf or branch level, observations have been variable. Thoene et al. (1991) measured NO<sub>2</sub> exchange of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) *H. Karst.*) and detected neither deposition nor emission at concentrations below 2.6 ppb. Rondón and Granat (1994) found, with both Norway spruce and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.), that the NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes at concentrations lower than 1 ppb were usually below the detection limit of their instrumentation and no NO<sub>2</sub> emission was observed. Geßler et al. (2000) observed no significant emission of NO<sub>2</sub> from beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) leaves in clean air. By contrast, Rondón et al. (1993) discovered NO<sub>2</sub> emission from Scots pine at concentrations below 0.7 ppb, Weber and Rennenberg (1996) from wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) at concentrations lower than 1.15 ppb, Hereid and Monson (2001) from corn (*Zea mays* L.) leaves below 0.9 ppb, Sparks et al. (2001) from tropical wet forest species below 0.52–1.60 ppb, and Geßler et al. (2002) from spruce below 1.7 ppb.

Theories concerning the mechanisms that underlie the emissions have been suggested mainly for NO. All started apparently from Klepper (1979), who observed NO and NO<sub>2</sub> emission from soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) plant tissue that had been treated with an herbicide that blocked nitrite reduction. He proposed that the emissions originated from reactions between the accumulated NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and plant metabolites. Later, Wildt et al. (1997) conducted an extensive study showing that several higher plants emit NO. Emission was found only when the plants received NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> as nutrient, not when the nutrient solution contained NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> only. Thus, also here the emissions were positively related to carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) uptake rate but not to stomatal aperture. Sparks et al. (2001) found that the NO<sub>2</sub> compensation points were higher in plants with high leaf nitrogen content, which indicates that the emissions were associated with the nitrogen metabolism. Interestingly, the emission rate was not related to the degree of stomatal opening or to the photosynthesis rate.

The NO molecule has raised interest in biology because it acts as a gaseous signaller both in animals and plants. The journal Science even chose NO as the "molecule of the year" in 1992 (Koshland 1992). In plants, it is involved in the regulation of stomatal closure, germination and defence responses (Lamattina et al. 2003). Synthesis of NO has been studied, and the two most important mechanisms appear to be that NaR produces NO from nitrite, and that a nitric oxide synthase (NOS) produces NO from arginine (Crawford 2006). The excess NO, which can be emitted via the stomata, may come from the reaction between NaR and nitrite. Meyer et al. (2005) suggested that essential plant functions such as stomatal control would probably not be regulated by a reaction this uncertain: NaR strongly prefers  $NO_3^-$  over  $NO_2^-$  and moreover, not all plant leaves contain  $NO_2^-$ , since in some species and under some conditions  $NO_3^-$  is already assimilated in the roots. Hence, Meyer et al. speculated that the NO produced by NaR is only an unnecessary side product.

#### 1.3 Measuring leaf-level NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes

Gas exchange in plants is measured with chambers. A plant, or part of a plant, is enclosed in a chamber that usually has an inlet for air to enter and an outlet for air samples that go to gas analysers. Changes in the concentration of the gas of interest are monitored, and by knowing how the measurement system works, one can estimate which part of the concentration change was due to plant functioning. The two main methods used in chamber measurement are steady-state and nonsteady-state (Livingston and Hutchinson 1995). The former means that the chamber is closed for so long a time that the gas concentration inside no longer changes, i.e. it reaches the steady state. In this case, the flux magnitude is derived from the concentration difference between the inlet and outlet of the chamber. Nonsteady-state chambers are not closed long enough to reach the steady state, but the flux is determined based on the rate of concentration change during the short measurement time. NO<sub>x</sub> fluxes have been studied using mainly the steady-state system (e.g. Hereid and Monson 2001, Geßler et al. 2002).

 $NO_x$  is a problematic gas in chamber measurements, because it is adsorbed and desorbed on the chamber walls, depending on the conditions. This must be taken into account in analysing the measurement data. The term chamber blank means the portion of the total flux that originates from the reactions of  $NO_x$  with the chamber walls and should always be determined in measuring  $NO_x$  fluxes. Usually, there is another similar but empty chamber monitored alongside the plant chamber to provide an estimate on the chamber blank. For instance, Gut et al. (2002) and Teklemariam and Sparks (2006) calculated the  $NO_x$  flux from the difference in concentration between the outlet of the plant-containing chamber and the empty chamber. A different procedure was used by Hereid and Monson (2001): they conducted empty-chamber measurements before and after each leaf measurement, with the same chamber. In addition to estimating the chamber blank, researchers have attempted to diminish it by preconditioning the chamber walls with O<sub>3</sub>- (e.g. Weber and Rennenberg 1996) or  $NO_2$ - enriched air (Hereid and Monson 2001).

The behaviour of the chamber blank has not usually been described in articles on  $NO_y$  exchange of plants, but there are exceptions. Rondón and Granat (1994) reported that their empty chamber turned from an  $NO_2$  sink to a source when the  $NO_2$  concentration in the air entering the chamber fell below 3–6 ppb. The chamber blanks did not correlate with RH, light intensity or temperature. Teklemariam and Sparks (2004) observed that in their PAN flux measurements, there were no significant absorption or memory effects, and that the PAN concentration was not affected by irradiance, temperature or RH. Schimang et al. (2006) measured the HONO exchange of plants and also analysed the behaviour of the chamber blank. The authors observed HONO losses on chamber walls that were a first-order process in relation to HONO concentration, and they also suggested that at low concentrations, light-induced production of HONO on the chamber walls begins to be comparable to the losses.

Chemical reactions on chamber walls have been studied in further detail in experimental gas-phase chemistry, where the background reactivity of the environmental chambers is a common problem. Suggested wall reactions directly related to  $NO_y$  include: dark hydrolysis of  $NO_2$  that produces HONO, photo-induced HONO production from  $NO_2$ , photo-induced off-gassing of  $NO_x$  and  $N_2O_5$  hydrolysis on the walls (Carter and Lurmann 1991). Zador et al. (2006) concluded for their smog chamber that from the many wall reactions possible, wall production of HONO and HCHO (formaldehyde) apparently accounted for most of the production of reactive species. The magnitude of HONO production is dependent on RH, irradiance level, temperature, and the amount of  $NO_3^-$  previously adsorbed on the walls (Killus and Whitten 1990).

In NO<sub>x</sub> flux measurements, one complication is the gas analyser. Most often the NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations are measured with a chemiluminescence method, in which NO reacts with  $O_3$  producing characteristic luminescence whose intensity is linearly proportional to the NO concentration. The chemiluminescence signal is quenched by humidity. Gerboles et al.

(2003) observed a signal quenching of 8% when the water content was increased from dry air to 80% RH. Otherwise the analyser works well when it is used for NO only. Additional problems arise when the total  $NO_x$  or  $NO_2$  is measured;  $NO_2$  must be converted to NO before detection, and this conversion is often not specific for  $NO_2$ , since other nitrogenous species can also be converted. The most common method, conversion on a heated molybdenum (Mo) surface, reduces at least HNO<sub>3</sub> (100%), HONO (100%), PAN and other organic nitrates (nearly 100%), and HCN (hydrogen cyanide; 68%) to NO (Gerboles et al. 2003). Fehsenfeld et al. (1987) used hydrated crystalline ferrous sulphate (FeSO<sub>4</sub>) instead of Mo for the surface conversion and reported significant interferences of n-propyl nitrate and PAN. Another method of conversion is photolysis: these photolytic converters are considered more specific for  $NO_2$ . Steinbacher et al. (2007) compared Mo and photolytic converters at two rural sites in Switzerland and concluded that only 70–83% of the 'NO<sub>2</sub>' detected with the Mo converter was really NO<sub>2</sub>. However, Ryerson et al. (2000) estimated that their photolytic converter also detected HONO with an efficiency of 37%. These findings suggest that the measurement results must be interpreted with care.

#### **1.4 Ultraviolet radiation**

The sun emits radiation over the entire electromagnetic spectrum. However, most of it does not reach the earth's surface, since gases in the atmosphere absorb the photons (Fig. 4).  $O_2$  and  $O_3$  molecules remove nearly all radiation below 290–300 nm, while wavelengths above 800 nm are largely absorbed by water and  $CO_2$  molecules. Between these limits, there is the near-ultraviolet (near-UV) region (300–400 nm) and the visible light region (400–700 nm), where most of the solar energy is concentrated originally; radiation in these regions can also penetrate through the atmosphere most easily (Seinfeld and Pandis 1998).



**Figure 4.** Solar spectral irradiance at the top of the atmosphere and at sea level. Shaded regions indicate the molecules responsible for absorption (Seinfeld and Pandis 1998; reprinted with permission of John Wiley and Sons, Inc).

The UV regions have been defined as follows: wavelengths 100–280 nm are called UV-C, 280–315 nm are UV-B, and 315–400 nm are UV-A (ISO standard 21348). Of these, all UV-C and most of the UV-B are absorbed in the atmosphere, and therefore, approximately 98% of the total UV radiation at sea level is UV-A. This is good for life on the earth, since shortwave radiation can harm living organisms, e.g. by altering their DNA. The shorter the wavelength of electromagnetic radiation, the more energy it carries within (Eq. 1):

$$E = \frac{hc}{\lambda} \tag{1}$$

where *E* is energy (J), *h* is Planck's constant  $(6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}^{-1})$ , *c* is the speed of light (approx.  $3 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) and  $\lambda$  is the wavelength (m). UV radiation is not energetic enough to remove protons or electrons from atoms and molecules, i.e. to ionize them, but it can dissociate atmospheric compounds more easily than visible light. Thus, UV radiation plays a significant role in driving atmospheric chemistry.

A possible connection between solar UV radiation and  $NO_y$  exchange in plants was observed when the gas exchange of Scots pine was monitored with the chamber method at the Station for Measuring the Forest Ecosystem - Atmosphere Relations (SMEAR) II station: when UV radiation entered the chambers, the  $NO_y$  emissions increased clearly (Raivonen 2000). The same phenomenon also occurred in an empty chamber, but there was also some indication of emissions from the pine needles. The observation was entirely novel and its implications for plant physiology and air chemistry are very interesting.

# 2. AIMS OF THE PRESENT STUDY

The overall aim of the study was to analyse the effect of solar UV radiation on  $NO_y$  emissions in gas-exchange chambers. The specific aims were:

1) to evaluate the  $NO_y$  flux measurement system used at the SMEAR II station and the reliability of the produced data

2) to review the potential processes underlying the UV-induced NO<sub>v</sub> emissions and

3) to quantify the  $NO_y$  emissions and assess their implications for plants and the atmosphere.

# **3. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### **3.1 Measurements**

This work is largely based on analyses of  $NO_y$  flux chamber measurements performed at the SMEAR II station (Hari and Kulmala 2005) in Hyytiälä, southern Finland during the years 2001–2004. The site is relatively remote and the mixing ratios of pollutant gases thus low. The nearest settlement, Korkeakoski, is located 8 km away. The  $NO_x$  concentrations in





**Figure 5.** Schematic illustration and a photograph of the chamber used in this study. In the illustration, the arrows show the direction of airflows. The two lids are closed when the measurement begins. There were two tubes for sample air: one channelled the air to the  $NO_y$  and  $O_3$  analysers, the other to the  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  analysers. Photo taken by Pertti Hari.

Hyytiälä were generally around 1 ppb, with a maximum in spring and winter and minimum in summer (Kulmala et al. 2000).

The soil on the site consists of coarse, silty glacial till. The  $NO_3^-$  concentration in the soil is low: in June 2003 it was 0.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in humus and mineral soil, compared with 4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of  $NH_4^+$  (M. Pihlatie, pers. comm.). The soil NO emissions were also very low (Kesik et al. 2005). The forest is a homogeneous Scots pine stand sown in 1962. During the years 2001–2004, the trees were 14 – 16 m tall.

#### Chamber system

The nonsteady-state chamber system was described in detail in Hari et al. (1999) and Kulmala et al. (1999). Several chambers monitored the gas fluxes of Scots pine trees; each chamber enclosed one shoot of a full-grown tree, and there were often more than one chamber per tree. The same shoots were monitored over the summers in 2001 and 2002 but were renewed for the summer of 2003 and again for 2004, due to ageing needles. The chambers were installed at the tops of the trees to minimize shading. One empty chamber served as a reference.



#### Figure 6.

(a) Absorbances of the quartz and Plexiglas covers at 290–500 nm.
Adopted from Study II.
(b) Solar irradiance at wavelengths 290–580 nm in Hyytiälä on 5th August 2004 at 06:45, 08:45 and 11:45.
Measured with a radio-spectrometer (Bentham, UK).

The chambers used for monitoring the NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes were box-shaped with a volume of one dm<sup>3</sup> (Fig. 5). The boxes were made of Plexiglas and the inner surfaces were coated with FEP (fluorinated ethylene propylene) Teflon film. The Teflon films on the chamber surfaces were renewed every spring and also several times later in the summer (after the year 2001). The upper walls, i.e. the covers, of the boxes were made of quartz glass, which transmits UV radiation. When the UV wavelengths needed to be filtered away, a Plexiglas plate was installed on top of the quartz cover (Fig. 6). The boxes had two round holes in the bottom for letting ambient air enter the chamber when no measurement was being performed. Inside the chamber, fans ventilated the interior and kept the air well mixed. A copper-constantane thermocouple monitored the temperature inside the chamber.

A computer controlled the measurement of the gas concentrations and relevant environmental factors for the chambers; each chamber was measured two to four times per hour. When the measurement was initiated, a pump pulled sample air into the gas analysers and after a short while, the lids in the chamber bottom closed. The sample was fed into the gas analysers through two heated and light-shielded tubes. The sample air was replaced by ambient air flowing from outside of the chamber at an equal rate.

Figure 7 shows an example of the data measured during a single measurement period. The values were recorded at 5-s intervals. Solar irradiance remained constant, since cloudiness did not change during the measurement. The lids closed 5 s after measurement was initiated and opened again after 65 s, during which chamber temperature increased several degrees. The ambient temperature was approximately 3 degrees lower than the chamber temperature with open lids. In the chamber,  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  were deposited, while water (H<sub>2</sub>O) and NO<sub>y</sub> were emitted. This was seen as a decrease or increase in the gas concentrations during the closing.

#### Instrumentation for measuring the NO<sub>v</sub> concentrations

From summer 2002 onwards, the fluxes of NO and NO<sub>y</sub> were monitored at the SMEAR II station. Both were measured using chemiluminescence NO<sub>x</sub> analysers, model TEI 42S (Thermo Environmental Instruments, Franklin, MA, USA) equipped with an Mo converter. Thus, the system was specific for the NO fluxes, but in the 'NO<sub>x</sub>' mode other nitrogencontaining compounds were also detected. These apparently included HONO and organic nitrates and nitrites, e.g. the analyser misinterprets all PAN as NO<sub>2</sub>. In our case, HNO<sub>3</sub> was not believed to pass through the sample lines and in-line particle filters; hence, the flux measurement system was expected to detect all NO<sub>y</sub> species except HNO<sub>3</sub>. In the first two sub studies, the measured fluxes are referred to as NO<sub>x</sub> and in the latter two as NO<sub>y</sub>. However, they all are NO<sub>y</sub>.

#### Radiation measurements

A sensor for photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) (LiCor 190 SB) was attached to the chambers outside (see Fig. 5). UV irradiance, both UV-A (315–400 nm) and UV-B (280–315 nm), were monitored in a tower above the forest canopy (Solar 501A UVA and Solar 501A UVB; Solar Light Co., Philadelphia, PA, USA).



**Figure 7.** An example of data recorded during a single measurement period in a chamber that enclosed a pine shoot. The thick tick marks show when the chamber lids were closed.

These results on simulated transpiration have not been published elsewhere, other than in this thesis. The system for creating a known water vapour flux in a chamber was originally developed for calibrating transpiration measurements at the SMEAR station (Kolari et al. 2004). The chamber was monitored, following the normal measurement routine. However, the compensation air was not ambient, but compressed air that had been humidified in a thermally insulated pressure vessel with water on the bottom. The air was fed into the chamber via a tube at a flow rate equal to the sample flow. The humidity was adjusted by controlling the temperature of the pressure vessel. The gas concentrations in this compensation air were measured in between the chamber measurements by feeding the air directly to the gas analysers. The NO<sub>y</sub> concentration did not change on the way through the compression but it was nearly ambient. Measurements with RH exceeding 75% were excluded because the water flux is unreliable at high RH (P. Kolari, personal communication).

#### 3.2 Methods of data analysis

#### Determining the total flux in a chamber

The gas concentrations in the sample air were recorded every 5 s during the 1 min the chamber was closed. The  $NO_y$  flux was determined from the concentration change during the chamber closing, and the  $NO_y$  concentration of the compensating air was taken from data recorded while the chamber was still open (Fig. 8). The fluxes in the chambers were so small that they often were barely above the detection limit of the system, and the measuring noise was considerable. The flux determination method described below allowed us to use all existing information from a single measurement period (II). The method was a modification of those presented in Aalto (1998) for  $CO_2$  and in Altimir et al. (2002) for  $O_3$ .

The processes that changed the NO<sub>y</sub> concentration inside the chamber included sample flow into the gas analyser  $q_a$  (m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), compensating airflow of ambient air  $q_c$  (m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and flux J (µmol s<sup>-1</sup>) due to the sinks or sources of NO<sub>y</sub> inside the chamber (chamber walls, the shoot). The volume of the chamber was denoted by V (m<sup>3</sup>), NO<sub>y</sub> concentration at moment t by C(t) µ (mol m<sup>-3</sup>) and concentration in the compensating air by  $C_c$  (µmol m<sup>-3</sup>). Now the measured concentration change could be associated with the processes in a mass balance equation (Eq. 2).

$$\frac{V \, dC(t)}{dt} = q_C \, C_C - q_a \, C(t) + J \tag{2}$$

Since  $q_a$  and  $q_c$  are equal, the solution of the differential equation for C(t), the quantity that is measured, is Equation 3:

$$C(t) = (C(0) - C_c - \frac{J}{q})e^{-\frac{qt}{V}} + \frac{J + qC_c}{q}$$
(3)

Here the only unknown factor is the flux J, which was assumed to be constant during the measuring period. J was found by fitting Equation 3 to the measured NO<sub>y</sub> concentrations. The fitting was performed by Mathematica software (Version 4; Wolfram Research, Inc., Champaign, IL, USA), which uses the Levenberg-Marquardt method for minimizing the sum of squares. Figure 8 demonstrates the fit.

#### Analysing the relationship between solar radiation and NO<sub>v</sub> fluxes

The irradiances of UV-A and UV-B radiation, as well as the PAR intensity, were monitored simultaneously with the  $NO_y$  fluxes. The relationship between these was analysed by fitting a linear regression model with the least squares method.

#### Blank correction procedure

The chamber blank was estimated by monitoring  $NO_y$  (and other) fluxes in a constantly empty chamber. The setup was such that the empty chamber was included in the normal measurement routine; it was measured 2–3 times per hour, similar to the shoot chambers. All the chambers were similar and were treated similarly.

The observations made on the behaviour of  $NO_y$  fluxes in the empty chamber (II) are reviewed more closely in the Results section, but the blank correction procedure is explained here. The correction methods were based on three observations. Firstly, at low ambient  $NO_y$  concentration, the  $NO_y$  emissions in the empty chamber were linearly dependent on UV-A irradiance. Secondly, the  $NO_y$  fluxes (or the regression coefficient of the UV-A dependency) measured in several similar empty chambers simultaneously were not equal, but differed significantly. Thirdly, UV-A irradiance alone did not explain the  $NO_y$  flux, but there were other unknown factors that affected, one of them probably the ambient  $NO_y$  concentration.



**Figure 8.** Illustration of how the NO<sub>y</sub> flux was determined. The average of the points marked with the box was used as the ambient concentration, and the mass-balance equation was fitted to the data points, starting from the chamber closing. J (µmol s<sup>-1</sup>) denotes the flux. (a) is an example of a measurement period with a large flux and little noise, while (b) shows the contrasting situation. Redrawn from Study II.

The best option for blank correcting on low-NO<sub>y</sub> days seemed to be to use the UV-A regression estimated in the same chamber where the pine shoot was monitored. In the present study, this method was used for several days when the pine shoots had been removed from their chambers for a couple of hours around noon. Thus, it was possible to determine the linear UV-A regression of the NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes during these hours. The total flux, chamber + shoot, was corrected with this number for the rest of the day.

Since the branch removals described above were not a common practice at the site, this study also used data in which the blank was taken directly from the reference chamber. The  $NO_y$  fluxes of the reference chamber were taken as such, and subtracted from the shoot chamber flux. The  $NO_y$  flux in the empty chamber was always measured just before or just after a shoot chamber. Due to the UV dependency of the fluxes, all measurement pairs in which the difference in solar UV-A irradiance between the blank measurement and the shoot-flux measurement was greater than 5 W m<sup>-2</sup> were excluded. This latter method was applied in IV for blank correcting the  $NO_y$  consumption data, as well as in all data in III that were shown as corrected (flux of the shoot).

#### Analyzing the NO<sub>y</sub> exchange of a pine shoot

The  $NO_y$  exchange of pine shoots was assumed to consist of three processes: consumption on the needle surface, consumption in the stomata and production of  $NO_y$  on the needle surface. All these were formulated in a model for which the parameters were derived from the blank-corrected  $NO_y$  flux data of two pine shoots (IV). The behaviour of the net flux under different environmental conditions was evaluated based on the modelling results.

 $NO_y$  consumption was defined as the negative flux direction. Consumption on the needle surfaces was dependent linearly on the external  $NO_y$  concentration as a first-order process. Consumption in the stomata was set to depend linearly on the concentration gradient between the air and substomatal cavities and on the degree of stomatal opening as represented by stomatal conductance for  $NO_2$ ,  $g_{NO2}$  (mm s<sup>-1</sup>). An internal concentration was introduced in the model by assuming a balance between diffusion and the consuming reaction at the mesophyll surface.

The value for  $g_{NO2}$  was determined from the conductance for CO<sub>2</sub>,  $g_{CO2}$ , by scaling with the ratio of the diffusion coefficients of NO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> in the air, i.e. 0.9855 (Massman 1998). The value for  $g_{CO2}$  was obtained from simultaneous measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange, using the optimal stomatal control model of photosynthesis (described in Hari and Mäkelä 2003). The low measuring accuracy and precision at low *vpd* (water vapour pressure deficit) made the conductance calculation unreliable at low light and at low *vpd*. In addition, the model structure does not allow determination of the conductance at low *vpd*. On this basis, approximately 70% of the available data were selected. The parameters for the NO<sub>y</sub> consumption model were taken by fitting the model to data recorded during an episode of high NO<sub>y</sub> concentration, because only then was the deposition of NO<sub>y</sub> evident.

Since the NO<sub>y</sub> production correlated slightly better with UV-A radiation than with UV-B radiation, only UV-A was included in the production model. A nitrite-dependent physiological NO/NO<sub>2</sub> production did not seem probable in the trees growing at SMEAR II, thus, no effect of stomatal control and no production without UV-A were assumed. This was formulated as a linear model, in which the production flux was dependent only on UV-A irradiance. The parameter was estimated by fitting the model to data collected over several days when the NO<sub>y</sub> emission had been especially evident. Only measurements obtained at ambient NO<sub>y</sub> concentrations below 0.8 ppb were included in the analysis.

The compensation point of the  $NO_y$  exchange of a plant is defined as the ambient concentration at which the net flux is zero, i.e. at which the consumption and production rates are equal. Hence, the compensation point was estimated by setting the net flux to zero and solving the ambient concentration for different combinations of the degree of stomatal opening and UV-A irradiance.

## 4. RESULTS

The ambient  $NO_y$  concentrations at SMEAR II were generally low, around 1 ppb. Under these conditions, the  $NO_y$  fluxes in the gas-exchange chambers were emission rather than deposition. However, when a rare high- $NO_y$  episode occurred, the fluxes turned into deposition (Fig. 9). This was evident in chambers that enclosed pine shoots, but the emissions of the empty chamber also ceased. The results in chapters 4.1–4.3 include only low concentration condition; 4.4 also treats higher concentrations.



**Figure 9.** (a) Ambient NO<sub>y</sub> concentration, UV-A irradiance and (b) measured NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes on four days in summer 2001. On the 15 May and the 16 June the conditions were normal for the Hyytiälä site, with low NO<sub>y</sub> concentration. On 17–18 May the concentration became exceptionally high.

#### 4.1 The phenomenon

The first preliminary observations on the effect of UV radiation on  $NO_y$  emissions were made when UV-transparent quartz covers were installed on the chambers instead of UVopaque Plexiglas covers (Fig. 10) (II). The phenomenon was investigated further simply by interchanging the covers in chambers that enclosed pine shoots (in later phases of the study, UV was filtered by installing the Plexiglas plates on top of the quartz covers). The emissions were lower with Plexiglas and increased immediately when solar UV radiation was allowed to enter the chamber. A similar effect was seen in the empty chamber. Moreover, the magnitude of the emissions in the empty chamber was similar or sometimes even higher than that obtained simultaneously in a shoot chamber. Emissions in all chambers, including the empty one, closely followed the solar irradiance (Fig. 11). Since the emissions already revived in the mornings before the UV-B irradiance, UV-A appeared to drive the emissions. The proportion of NO in the total NO<sub>y</sub> flux was negligible (III).



**Figure 10.** Change in the  $NO_y$  fluxes in the empty chamber when the UV-opaque Plexiglas cover was replaced with a UV-transparent quartz cover. The replacement is marked with the vertical line.

Figure 11. NO<sub>v</sub> emissions in both a shoot chamber (upper panel) and an empty chamber (lower panel). and solar irradiance on a sunny day with some clouds. The left panel shows the linear regression of the emissions with the UV-A irradiance, and the right panel shows how these two, emissions (black line) and irradiance (grey line). show similar variation.



**Figure 12.** Measured NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes of the days when the pine shoots were removed from their chambers for a couple of hours at midday. (a), (b) Data of two chambers having a UV-transparent quartz cover (redrawn from Study IV). (c), (d) Data of two chambers with Plexiglas covers.

It was not certain whether there were any  $NO_y$  emissions from the pine shoots or only from the chamber walls. This was checked by removing the shoots from their chambers for several hours at midday, to determine whether the  $NO_y$  flux was altered by the removals (I). Change did occur: the emissions decreased when the branch was removed. However, this occurred only when UV radiation was entering the chamber. There was also small visible drop in the flux when the branch removal was performed with the Plexiglas cover, but minor compared with the drop with UV radiation (Fig. 12).

The maximum total (chamber + shoot) emissions at the strongest irradiance levels were app. 6 pmol s<sup>-1</sup> or 0.28 ng s<sup>-1</sup>, assuming it to be NO<sub>2</sub>. The estimated maximum emissions from the pine shoots were app. 0.06 nmol s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> of total needle area or 0.2 nmol s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> of projected needle area, which corresponds to 2.8 ng s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> or 9 ng s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> of NO<sub>2</sub>.

#### 4.2 Technical issues

#### $NO_{v}$ fluxes in the empty chamber

When the interior of the empty chamber was covered with FEP Teflon film, the UVassociated emissions decreased remarkably. However, the positive effect of teflonizing was not permanent. Emissions from the teflonized chamber, when related to prevailing solar irradiance, also increased with time, despite frequent cleaning of the chamber (II). In summer 2002 the Teflon films were renewed several times, and the NO<sub>y</sub> emissions dropped with each new Teflon coating (Fig. 13). This also occurred during the following summers: the emissions increased steadily until the Teflon coating was renewed. As seen in the figure, the dependence of the chamber blank on solar irradiation was slightly different on cloudy and rainy days; the regression coefficients could be higher than on surrounding sunny days.

We also observed that although separate chambers were treated similarly, their emission levels without pine shoots differed, sometimes by as much as 2-fold.



**Figure 13.** Daily values of the chamber blank in summer 2002 presented (a) as daily mean  $NO_y$  fluxes and (b) as daily regression coefficients between PAR irradiance and  $NO_y$  flux. The consecutive Teflon coatings are separated by different symbols. Redrawn from Study II.

#### Effect of water flux on the NO<sub>y</sub> flux

When a living pine shoot is enclosed in a chamber, the water flux inside the chamber changes. Pine shoots transpire, which means a positive water flux and rising humidity in a closed chamber, especially in absolute humidity. Increase in RH is not as significant, since the temperature also rises (maximum 3 degrees in sunshine) while the chamber is closed. For instance, during the measurement in Figure 7, the RH increased from 48% to 66%. In an empty chamber there is no source of water, hence the humidity remains quite constant. The effect of the changing humidity on the NO<sub>y</sub> flux in a chamber was studied by generating artificial transpiration in an empty chamber. The idea was to simulate realistic transpiration levels and a drop to zero, which occurs when a living shoot is removed from the chamber.

The simulation was performed on a single day in summer 2006. Unfortunately, it became increasingly cloudy as the water flux was decreased to zero; thus, the visual impression is that the  $NO_y$  emission decreased with irradiance, as usual (Fig. 14). However,



**Figure 14.** Results of the experiment with artificial transpiration. (a) Generated  $H_2O$  fluxes and simultaneous  $NO_y$  fluxes. (b)  $NO_y$  fluxes, PAR and UV-A irradiance. (c) Residuals of the  $NO_y$  flux calculated from the linear PAR regression model, and the  $H_2O$  flux.



**Figure 15.** Change in NO<sub>y</sub> flux and transpiration on the 27 June 2001, when a pine shoot was removed from its chamber. (a) compares the UV-A irradiance and NO<sub>y</sub> flux, and (b) shows transpiration and the NO<sub>y</sub> flux.

when the linear regression between PAR intensity and the NO<sub>y</sub> emissions was determined, its residuals (measured flux minus the modelled flux) followed the changes in water flux. 'Transpiration' explained 52% ( $r^2$ ) of the variation that irradiance did not explain.

The change in total NO<sub>y</sub> flux was similar to that which occurred when the living pine shoot was removed from the chamber. During actual branch removals, the transpiration dropped by app. 0.25 mg s<sup>-1</sup>, while the NO<sub>y</sub> emissions dropped by app. 1 pmol s<sup>-1</sup> although the irradiance level remained constant (Fig. 15). During the simulation, H<sub>2</sub>O dropped 0.2 mg s<sup>-1</sup>, and the additional drop in NO<sub>y</sub> emissions, not explained by changes in light, was app. 2 pmol s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 4.3. Origin of the emissions

#### Association with plant metabolism

In the present study we analysed whether the  $NO_y$  emissions could be of metabolic origin by comparing them with the  $CO_2$  deposition, i.e. photosynthesis (III). Both these fluxes are dependent primarily on solar irradiance, and thus the chamber measurements showed roughly a similar daily course for these fluxes. However, especially on sunny and warm days, the effects of other factors also were apparent in the  $CO_2$  exchange rate.

The linear increase in the photosynthesis rate in relation to solar irradiance in the morning began to saturate around noon, due to both closing of the stomata and limitations in the dark reactions of photosynthesis (Hari and Mäkelä, 2003). In addition, on warm days the regression plot between solar irradiance and stomatal conductance sometimes formed a clockwise loop, because the *vpd* (vapour pressure deficit) increased during the day and the plant began to control excessive transpiration by closing the stomata.

The characteristics of the CO<sub>2</sub> exchange were not reflected in the NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes. Figure 16





**Figure 16.** Comparing the dependencies of NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes and simultaneous CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes on the PAR intensity. Results are from three sample days. A positive CO<sub>2</sub> flux means CO<sub>2</sub> uptake while positive NO<sub>y</sub> flux shows NO<sub>y</sub> emission. In all plots, the red circles highlight the morning fluxes from midnight till noon. The first row shows the CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes; the second row shows the NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes in a chamber with a pine shoot inside; the third row shows the NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes in the blank chamber; the lowest row shows the NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes addressed to the pine shoot only. Partly redrawn from Study III.

shows three example days on which the  $CO_2$  was clearly saturating and formed the loop. The regression between solar irradiance and  $NO_y$  flux was not saturating, but the linear increase also continued to the highest irradiances. Looping of the  $NO_y$  flux was variable. Generally, there was no clear difference between morning and afternoon  $NO_y$  emission levels, although the  $CO_2$  fluxes looped. Sometimes the  $NO_y$  flux formed a slight clockwise loop similar to that of  $CO_2$ , and sometimes the loop was even very slightly reversed compared with that for  $CO_2$ . This was the case with both the total flux of shoot + chamber and the estimated flux from the shoot. Plotting the  $NO_y$  fluxes against the  $CO_2$  fluxes showed that the  $NO_y$  emissions were not directly proportional to photosynthesis.

#### Effect of cleaning the pine shoot

To determine whether the UV-induced  $NO_y$  emissions could have originated from needle surfaces from a photodissociation reaction of accumulated nitrate or some other compound, the effect of cleanliness of the needle surface on the emissions was studied (III).

In summers 2001–2003, two similar chambers monitored two similar pine shoots simultaneously. Since the openings of the chambers were at the bottom, the chamber interior was never exposed to rain. The shoots were inside the chambers throughout the summers, and the  $NO_y$  emissions in the two shoot chambers were very similar, of the same magnitude and developing similarly. This is illustrated in Figure 17, showing the  $NO_y$  fluxes in two shoot chambers in the late summers of 2001–2004.

In summer 2004 the effect of cleaning was tested by keeping one pine shoot (and chamber) untouched, while the other was regularly cleaned. In June, all the chambers (including the blank) were cleaned, the Teflon film covering them was renewed and one of the pine shoots was rinsed with tap water. During the following 10 weeks, this shoot was rinsed approximately weekly, while the other shoot remained untouched. The chamber surfaces were not washed intentionally, but the bottom and partly the vertical walls were in contact with water, hence, the surfaces of the chamber were always cleaned somewhat when the shoot was rinsed. No new Teflon films were installed during summer. As a result, the NO<sub>y</sub> emissions were lower in the chamber in which the shoot was kept clean (Fig. 17), and the difference increased towards late summer. Unfortunately, the reference chamber was not rinsed with the shoot chamber. The total emissions of the cleaned shoot plus the chamber enclosing it were eventually even lower than those of the separate blank.

The rinsing affected the amount of  $NO_3^-$  on the needle surfaces. In November 2004, the amount of  $NO_3^-$  was determined on the two shoots inside the chambers, as well as on two free shoots that were alongside the chambers. The nonwashed shoot had app. 6.4 mg N m<sup>-2</sup> of  $NO_3^-$  on, while the one washed (not washed in September–November) had only 2.8 mg N m<sup>-2</sup>. The two reference branches outside were even cleaner, with 0.5 and 0.8 mg N m<sup>-2</sup>.

We also tested whether the presence of  $NO_3^-$  on the surfaces of dead pine needles is sufficient preconditioning for observing UV-induced  $NO_y$  emissions (III). The  $NO_y$ concentrations and their responses to changes in UV conditions were monitored inside a chamber that had either a cleaned dead Scots pine branch inside, or a branch that had been dipped into a strong ammonium nitrate ( $NH_4NO_3$ ) solution after cleaning. This heavy  $NO_3^$ exposure resulted in nearly 60 mg N m<sup>-2</sup> of total needle area. Natural, ambient UV radiation was filtered, using a Plexiglas plate on top of the quartz glass cover of the chamber. The experiment was performed in Valencia, Spain, on 6th June 2004. The  $NO_y$  concentration in the chamber showed a clear response to UV exclusion, but only when the branch with  $NO_3^$ treatment was inside the chamber (Fig. 18).



Time ( day )

**Figure 17.** Total NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes in two similar shoot chambers (grey and black line) on 2–31 July 2001, 15–25 August 2002, 10–31 July 2003 and 1–23 August 2004. In the first three summers, the chambers were treated identically: the same cleaning and reteflonizing procedures were performed for both chambers. In 2004, in one chamber (black line) the pine shoot was regularly rinsed, while in the other (grey line) the shoot was kept untouched.



**Figure 18.** Effect of UV radiation on the  $NO_y$  concentration in a chamber that enclosed either a clean pine branch or a branch that had been treated with  $NH_4NO_3$  solution. The branches were dead and dry, cut from the tree. The UV wavelengths were filtered away, using a Plexiglas plate. Adopted from Study III.

#### 4.4 Net exchange of NO<sub>v</sub> (IV)

#### Models used in the analysis

Consumption by the stomata was dependent linearly on the concentration gradient between the air and substomatal cavities, and on the degree of stomatal opening that was represented by  $g_{NO2}$  (mm s<sup>-1</sup>). The internal concentration was derived by assuming balance between diffusion and the consuming reactions at the mesophyll surface (Eq. 4).

$$g_{NO2}(C_E - C_I) = a_1 C_I \tag{4}$$

where,  $C_E$  is external NO<sub>y</sub> concentration (µmol m<sup>-3</sup>),  $C_I$  stands for internal concentration (µmol m<sup>-3</sup>) and  $a_I$  is the reaction rate at the surface (mm s<sup>-1</sup>). Solving for  $C_I$  results in:

$$C_{I} = \frac{g_{NO2}}{a_{1} + g_{NO2}} C_{E}$$
(5)

When the nonstomatal consumption on the needle surfaces was assumed to be dependent linearly on the ambient NO<sub>y</sub> concentration, the total consumption flux  $J_C$  (nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) became:

$$J_{C} = -g_{NO2} \left( C_{E} - \frac{g_{NO2}}{a_{1} + g_{NO2}} C_{E} \right) - a_{2} C_{E}$$
(6)

where  $a_2$  is the constant rate of nonstomatal consumption (mm s<sup>-1</sup>). The NO<sub>y</sub> production  $J_P$  (nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) was presented by a simple linear model:

$$J_P = a_3 I_{UVA} \tag{7}$$

where  $a_3$  is a parameter (with the dimension nmol W<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and  $I_{UVA}$  is UV-A irradiance (W m<sup>-2</sup>). A positive flux hence denotes production, while negative shows consumption. The net NO<sub>y</sub> flux,  $J_{net}$ , is the sum of the two processes of consumption and production:

$$J_{net} = -g_{NO2} \left( C_E - \frac{g_{NO2}}{a_1 + g_{NO2}} C_E \right) - a_2 C_E + a_3 I_{UVA}$$
(8)

#### Results of the analysis

Results of the parameter estimation are presented in Table 2. The values of parameter  $a_1$  can be related to the range of stomatal conductances that were used for the estimation: the maximum for shoot 1 was app. 1.2 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, and for shoot 2 app. 0.8 mm s<sup>1</sup>. This suggests that in shoot 1 the rate of reactions on the mesophyll surfaces was 68% of the maximum  $g_{NO2}$ , while in shoot 2 it was 43%. NO<sub>y</sub> consumption on the needle surfaces (parameter  $a_2$ ) appears minor relative to the absorption by the stomata: it cannot exceed the stomatal consumption even at the minimum  $g_{NO2}$  of 0.05 mm s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure 19.** Comparing the measured and modelled NO<sub>y</sub> flux for shoot 2 on 28–31 July 2001. (a) The ambient NO<sub>y</sub> concentration and UV-A irradiance of these days. (b) The components and the total of modelled NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes and the measured NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes.

Parameter	Shoot 1	Shoot 2
a₁ (mm s <sup>-1</sup> )	0.812	0.343
a₂ (mm s⁻¹)	0.0108	0.0037
a <sub>3</sub> (W <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	0.000826	0.000715

Table 2. Values of the model parameters (see Eq. 4–7) estimated for two pine shoots.

Figure 19 shows how the model performed for the last 4 days of July 2001. The days were chosen since they formed one of the longest time periods during that summer with no gap or other interruption in the measurements. The most evident result here was that the emissions were much higher than the model predicted. The ambient NO<sub>y</sub> concentration remained quite low during these days, thus, the consumption was also small. However, the effect of consumption can be seen, e.g. on 30<sup>th</sup> July. The modelled value for  $J_{net}$  was lower than the modelled NO<sub>y</sub> production, and it followed the measured flux more closely than did production only. In the early morning of 31<sup>st</sup> July, the ambient NO<sub>y</sub> concentration was slightly elevated, making the estimated consumption increase, which decreased the value for  $J_{net}$  below that of the modelled emissions and which was closer to the observed flux. In fact, the measurement showed stronger deposition than the model predicted.

Whether production or consumption dominates  $J_{net}$  is dependent on the combination of  $g_{NO2}$ ,  $I_{UVA}$ , and  $C_E$ . Generally, the predictions of the model can be examined with the concept of the compensation point, i.e. the value of  $C_E$  at which the  $J_{net}$  equals zero. Figure 20 shows the compensation points for different values of  $g_{NO2}$  (of the range observed within these measurements) as a function of  $I_{UVA}$  using the parameters of shoot 1. The compensation point was strongly dependent on  $I_{UVA}$  and  $g_{NO2}$ , decreasing with an increasing degree of stomatal opening, and increasing with increasing  $I_{UVA}$ . At an  $I_{UVA}$  of 40 W m<sup>-2</sup>, the compensation point remained above 2 ppb and rose to 4 ppb when the  $g_{NO2}$  decreased to 0.5 mm s<sup>-1</sup>. Compensation points of several ppb were also obtained with lower  $I_{UVA}$ , app. 20 W m<sup>-2</sup>, combined with a  $g_{NO2}$  of 0.1–0.5 mm s<sup>-1</sup>. Our measurements suggested that this is a realistic combination occurring at the SMEAR II station.



**Figure 20.** Dependence of the NO<sub>y</sub> compensation point on UV-A irradiance, at different stomatal conductances for NO<sub>2</sub> (mm s<sup>-1</sup>, values for each set of points are shown on the right), estimated from data obtained with a single pine shoot. The compensation points are shown for conditions of 20 °C and normal ambient pressure. Adopted from Study IV.

# 5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

#### Measuring NO<sub>v</sub> fluxes with chambers

The NO<sub>x</sub> fluxes of plants have often been measured in the laboratory or greenhouse (Thoene et al. 1996, Weber and Rennenberg 1996, Wildt et al. 1997, Geßler et al. 2000, Gut et al. 2002). The gas concentrations in the air entering the chambers have also been controlled under field conditions (Johansson 1987, Rondón et al. 1993, Geßler et al. 2000, Hereid and Monson 2001, Sparks et al. 2001, Geßler et al. 2002). No other studies, in addition to ours, have monitored NO<sub>x</sub> fluxes under completely noncontrolled ambient conditions, probably because using the chamber method with chemically reactive NO<sub>x</sub> is demanding, even with controlled quantities of other chemical compounds in the system. For example, O<sub>3</sub> oxidizes NO to NO<sub>2</sub>; thus, the effects of O<sub>3</sub> must be prevented or determined. In several studies, O<sub>3</sub> was removed from the inlet air (Rondón et al. 1993, Geßler et al. 2000).

An evident requirement for proper  $NO_x$  flux measurements is, of course, an  $NO_2$ -specific gas analyser. Even if the gases entering the measuring system are controlled and only  $NO_2$  used as the  $NO_y$ , the possible emissions of other  $NO_y$  species disturb the measurement. Based on the present study and the literature, flux measurements are relatively straightforward when the concentration of  $NO_x$  is so high that the flux is one of deposition. Our empty chamber at least differed clearly from those enclosing shoots at high ambient concentrations; although there was some deposition in the empty chamber, it was nowhere near the deposition fluxes observed in those with shoots. Even if the chamber blank caused some uncertainty in the magnitude of the deposition flux, it apparently cannot obscure any clear  $NO_x$  uptake by the plant. At low concentrations, however, the situation is complicated. In our case, the chamber caused significant systematic error in the measurements, and distinguishing the fluxes that were related to the plant from those that came from the chamber surfaces was difficult. Other researchers have often neglected these measurements at such low concentrations, since they have been below the detection limits of the systems used.

One important observation that should be given more detailed future study is the effect of simulated transpiration on the NO<sub>v</sub> emissions from the chamber walls. In case this association actually exists, a separate always-empty blank chamber may not be useful, due to lack of transpiration. Our observations in the chambers suggest that only the chamber surface may enable a photochemical  $NO_v$ -producing reaction, but that the reaction rate may be dependent on water. In general, the background reactivity in environmental chambers is dependent on RH (Killus and Whitten 1990). The data presented in Zhou et al. (2003) indicate that the photochemical HONO production on the glass surface also peaked immediately after the RH was elevated. However, it is difficult to evaluate whether these findings are relevant for explaining the observations made in the present study. Transpiration in a shoot does not maintain the water concentration in a chamber above the ambient level when the chamber is open and not measuring, due to effective ventilation. Therefore, the humidity rises only when the chamber is closed, which is quite a short time for any changes in the state of the chamber walls to occur. Moreover, the chemical and physical conditions in our field measurements are not controlled, and there is a myriad of chemical species present, but at relatively low concentrations compared with the purely gas-phase chemistry experiments.

The sub studies in this thesis also report other results, contradicting the notion that the  $NO_y$  emissions from the shoot are simply an artefact caused by transpiration. First of all, the emission level was higher in the blank chamber than in some of the shoot chambers, e.g. in late summer 2004, after the pine shoot in one of the chambers had been frequently cleaned with water. This indicates that even if the transpiration affected the  $NO_y$ -producing reaction on the chamber walls, the general level is determined by something else, the strongest candidate being the level and composition of the accumulated deposition on the chamber walls. In addition, we found that the daily  $NO_y$  emissions related to solar irradiance do not form a loop. This, however, would be expected if the transpiration affected the emissions, since the daily transpiration also forms a loop. In any case, this aspect requires further study, and the effects of water flux should be analysed in detail.

Generally, to effectively measure small NO<sub>v</sub> fluxes, all the reactions on the chamber walls should be eliminated. Frequent renewing of the Teflon coatings and cleaning of the chamber surfaces with water, or another suitable solvent, reduced the chamber blank. However, preventing the surface reactions completely seems impossible, especially under field conditions, where unknown amounts of compounds can freely flow into the chambers. It may not be reasonable to perform these as pure field measurements at all, but it would be worthwhile to control the gases and chemical species entering the chamber. The price one pays for controlled conditions is that some naturally existing environmental factor relevant for the study may be missed, and the measurements would thus diverge from those obtained under natural conditions. At the SMEAR II station, nitrate accumulated on those pine shoots that were enclosed in chambers, shielded from rain. Although they differed from the free shoots in this sense — this has even promoted the SMEAR group to develop a new type of chamber, that allows the pine shoots to be exposed to rain and fog most of the time — a complete lack of nitrate would have been perhaps a bigger loss. Another type of improvement in these measurements would be to try to increase the signal by increasing the plant surface in the chamber and minimizing the ratio of chamber surface area to volume. A spherical chamber would be better than a box in this respect.

Solar UV irradiance certainly affects the chamber blank, and this should be taken into account when measuring the  $NO_y$  fluxes with chambers. UV radiation also appears to affect the  $NO_y$  fluxes of a plant, and thus, excluding the UV from the measurement, e.g. by using a UV-opaque chamber material or a lamp that emits only visible light, may bias the results.

#### What is the origin of the UV-induced $NO_{y}$ emissions?

If the pine branches emit  $NO_y$  under UV exposure, the first question to consider is whether the emission comes from plant metabolism or from a surface reaction similar to the one generating  $NO_y$  on the chamber walls. The two main (nonexclusionary) theories of metabolic mechanisms generating  $NO_x$  are that the nitrate reductase (NaR) produces NO from nitrite, and that an nitric oxide synthase (NOS) produces NO from arginine (Crawford 2006). Significant NO escape from plants appears to be a consequence of the NaR reaction occurring in leaves in which  $NO_2^-$  has accumulated. Needles of the Scots pine trees at SMEAR II most likely do not contain accumulated  $NO_2^-$ , since pines prefer to metabolize  $NO_3^-$  already in the roots, especially when the  $NO_3^-$  and  $NO_2^-$  in the needles because of atmospheric  $NO_x$  dissolving in the apoplastic water, but not significant amounts. Thus, the NaR-  $NO_2^-$  reaction is not likely to be relevant in the SMEAR II needles.

38

Wildt et al. (1997) observed NO emission that apparently originated from plant nitrate metabolism, from free nitrite inside leaves. They found that the NO emission rate had a more or less linear relationship with the  $CO_2$  uptake rate, and concluded that the NO emissions were related to photosynthetic activity. The present study analysed the association between photosynthesis and NOv emissions. The analysis was not very powerful, because the main pattern with both  $CO_2$  uptake and  $NO_y$  emission is dependent on solar irradiance. However, the conclusion was that the NO<sub>v</sub> emissions were not associated with the CO<sub>2</sub> exchange, since their relationships with solar irradiance were slightly different. The NO<sub>v</sub> emissions were linearly dependent on irradiance under all conditions, while the  $CO_2$  uptake rate saturated at high levels of irradiance and the  $NO_y$ emissions did not form a loop as the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake did sometimes on warm, sunny days. This loop appears partly because the degree of stomatal opening in relation to irradiance is usually higher in the morning than in the afternoon. Even if a metabolic mechanism producing NOv was not related to photosynthesis itself, the release of NOv should occur via the stomata and the stomatal control should affect it. The linear dependency on solar irradiance fitted much better with the idea of a photochemical reaction.

A photochemical surface reaction was also supported by the finding that the chamber history is crucial in  $NO_y$  fluxes. When the Teflon coating on the chamber walls was new and all the surfaces clean, the emissions were lower than after the chamber had been measuring undisturbed for longer periods of time. The emissions increased both in the empty chamber and shoot chambers. In the empty chamber, the emissions decreased every time the Teflon surfaces were renewed. When two similar shoot chambers were monitored over the summer, the difference being that in one the shoot was untouched while in the other the shoot was rinsed with tap water at regular intervals, the emissions in the rinsed chamber became clearly lower. During the three previous summers, the  $NO_y$  fluxes in two similar shoot chambers were very similar. The deficiency in the experiment was that not only the shoot but also the chamber walls became coated with water, making it now impossible to determine how well the walls, in fact, were cleaned. In any case, washing the shoot and possibly the chamber with water influenced the  $NO_y$  emissions, indicating that water-soluble compounds are somehow associated with this phenomenon.

The precursor of the emissions from the surfaces was hypothesized to be  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup>/HNO<sub>3</sub>, which was supported by published research on photo-generated  $NO_y$  emissions from snow and glass surfaces. Honrath et al. (2000), Dibb et al. (2002), and Cotter et al. (2003) observed light-induced NO and  $NO_2$  emission from snow only if it contained  $NO_3^-$ . The absorption spectrum of  $NO_3^-$  in aqueous solutions shows a weak absorption band at approximately 260–330 nm, the maximum being at 302 nm (Mack and Bolton 1999). A stronger band occurs at such short wavelengths (maximum near 200 nm) that they do not exist in the solar spectrum on the earth's surface. Cotter et al. (2003) found that the  $NO_x$  production in snow stopped when radiation at wavelengths below 345 nm was filtered away, which was consistent with the idea of nitrate photolysis being the  $NO_x$  source. Zhou et al. (2002, 2003) showed that photolysis of HNO<sub>3</sub> also produces  $NO_x$  and HONO on glass surfaces. Ramazan et al. (2004) proposed that the mechanism of this is that HNO<sub>3</sub> forms complexes with water on surfaces, and these complexes are photolysed. It thus seems clear that on some surfaces nitrate photolysis generates gaseous  $NO_y$ , at least  $NO_x$  and HONO.

For the  $NO_y$  emissions observed at SMEAR II, the first condition to fulfil is to not exceed the nitrate deposition at the site. This was evaluated by comparing the emissions with  $HNO_3 + NO_3^-$  deposition estimated from European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) measurements at the Ähtäri site, app. 100 km away from Hyytiälä, and  $HNO_3$  concentrations measured during the Biogenic Aerosol Formation in the Boreal Forest

(BIOFOR) campaign at SMEAR II (Janson et al. 2001). Based on these, the nitrogen deposition was at least 5–10 times higher than the emissions, hence, there should have been enough nitrate to allow for the emissions. In particular, we observed that the pine shoots that had been inside the chambers, thus not exposed to cleaning rain and fog, accumulated nitrate compared with free shoots outside the chambers. We also showed (in the experiment performed at CEAM; III) that the NO<sub>y</sub> concentrations in a Teflon chamber were dependent on the presence of UV radiation, if the pine needle surfaces in the chambers had been treated with nitrate. With clean pine needles, the concentration was not affected by UV filtering (Fig. 18). The concentration changes were not very large, considering that the nitrate treatment had resulted in abundant nitrate on the pine needles, much more than under the natural conditions in Finland. It is difficult to evaluate the significance, since the conditions were so different. For example, in the small chamber the compensating air consisted of purified air from a bottle, with RH near zero, and the pine shoot was dead, thus not transpiring. However, UV radiation clearly affected the NO<sub>y</sub> production in the chamber and only when there was nitrate inside.

Why the phenomenon has not been observed in other studies on  $NO_y$  exchange in plants could be a consequence of measuring mainly at higher concentrations and under controlled conditions, and not exposing the chamber interior and the plant to UV radiation. For instance, Schimang et al. (2006), who acknowledged the possibility of photo-induced HONO emissions from the plant surfaces but did not observe any, used chamber material that transmitted only radiation of wavelengths above 350 nm. Thus, the absorption band of nitrate was filtered away. A study somewhat supporting the nitrate photolysis theory is that of Geßler et al. (2000), who measured  $NO_2$  exchange on beech trees, both in a field area with high nitrate deposition and in a lab with greenhouse-grown seedlings and apparently a



**Figure 21.** Schematic of the processes assumed to occur in the gas-exchange chamber. Nitrate molecules are attached on the chamber and needle surfaces and UV photons dissociate them, which produces NO<sub>y</sub>. Water transpired by the needles may affect this dissociation reaction on the chamber surface.

lamp as the light source. Their chamber was made of borosilicate glass, which transmits UV radiation, although the transmission at 302 nm is only 40%. They observed emission of  $NO_2$  at near-zero concentration in the field, but not in the lab.

In canopy-level studies, observing these emissions may be more difficult. There is in any case the NO emission from the soil, which can explain any upward  $NO_x$  flux. Horii et al. (2004) observed that at low concentrations, the net  $NO_x$  flux of the forest approached zero, and they suggested that it was because  $NO_x$  was emitted from the upper canopy, as our study (I) reported.

It has been quite convincingly shown that under some conditions and on some surfaces, photolysis of nitrate produces gaseous NO<sub>y</sub>. The crucial question here is which materials can act as the producing surface. Based on the observations presented here, the FEP Teflon film and/or quartz glass walls of the gas-exchange chambers and, more importantly, Scots pine needles apparently can, while other plants would probably behave similarly. Figure 21 illustrates the processes suggested to occur in the chambers.

#### Composition of the emitted $NO_{y}$

To evaluate the implications of the observed  $NO_y$  emissions, the chemical composition of the bulk  $NO_y$  should be known. The only  $NO_y$  species that was actually measured individually was NO. Generally, the NO flux was negligible. In the measurement system at SMEAR II,  $O_3$ , for instance, was always present to oxidize NO molecules to  $NO_2$ . The estimations showed that NO losses of about 42% or 58% (depending on the set up) were expected; hence, small NO fluxes could become nondetectable. However, concentrations above approximately 0.26 ppb were not reduced below the detection limit. Based on this, the possibility of a significant NO flux can be excluded and we conclude that the emissions consisted mainly of compounds that the analyser detected as  $NO_2$ .

The NO<sub>y</sub> analyser used in this study apparently detected all NO<sub>y</sub> species, except HNO<sub>3</sub>, which is retained by the sample lines and particle filters. The conversion efficiencies for species other than NO<sub>2</sub> are nearly 100% in this type of NO<sub>x</sub> analyser (Gerboles et al. 2003, Steinbecher et al. 2007). This basically suggests that any chemical reaction converting one NO<sub>y</sub> species (exclusive of HNO<sub>3</sub>) to another in the gas phase cannot be detected as net emission in the chamber. The precursor was not measured by the gas analyser, due to adsorption on the surfaces. For instance, the heterogeneous formation of HONO by NO<sub>2</sub> and water should not, in principle, appear as a change in NO<sub>y</sub> concentration, since HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> are both measured in the same way by the measurement system.

The HNO<sub>3</sub>/nitrate photolysis on snow and glass surfaces produces NO<sub>2</sub>, NO and HONO. In the snow studies, the observed HONO production compared with NO<sub>2</sub> production was app. 40% (Beine et al. 2002), 25% (Dibb et al. 2002), or even lower (Honrath et al. 2002). Beine et al. (2006) suggested that the generation of HONO is low when the snow is alkaline. Zhou et al. (2003) found that on glass surfaces, only NO<sub>2</sub> was produced at 0% RH, but HONO production was initiated when RH increased. The authors suggested that NO<sub>2</sub> is the primary product of HNO<sub>3</sub> photolysis, and HONO is generated from the NO<sub>2</sub> produced in a reaction with water. They observed the proportions of HONO and NO<sub>x</sub> to be nearly equal at 50% RH. NO production was negligible compared with that of NO<sub>2</sub>.

The chamber and needle surfaces at SMEAR II were acidic rather than alkaline and the RH was, naturally, above zero, sometimes approaching 100%. Hence, the best estimate for

the composition of the UV-induced  $NO_y$  emissions in the chambers is that they consisted of  $NO_2$  and HONO, with  $NO_2$  being slightly more abundant.

#### Implications for NO<sub>x</sub> exchange in plants

The role of vegetation in the atmospheric balance of  $NO_x$  is dependent on the atmospheric  $NO_x$  concentration. At high concentrations, plants absorb  $NO_x$  (or at least  $NO_2$ ) from the air, but at concentrations low enough they may possibly emit  $NO_x$ . The limit concentration at which plants turn from absorbers into emitters is the compensation point. The present study suggested that the limit concentration is not a single constant number but is dependent on the degree of stomatal opening (which, in turn, is dependent on different environmental factors) and UV-A irradiance, which determines the processes that induce the deposition and emission fluxes. The estimations suggested that the compensation point decreases with an increasing degree of stomatal opening and increases with increasing UV-A irradiance. The variation is wide and, what is most distinctive in comparison to previous studies, the compensation point can increase to several ppb under normal environmental conditions.

This thesis was based on measurements of  $NO_y$  fluxes, not  $NO_x$  or  $NO_2$ . Hence, the crucial question is to what extent the results reflect the properties of  $NO_x$  exchange. Basically, the justification for comparing them with the results of previous  $NO_x$  and  $NO_2$  studies is that the most probable process underlying the UV-induced  $NO_y$  emissions is nitrate photolysis, which produces mostly  $NO_2$ . In this case, the emissions would also include some HONO, and this portion, perhaps close to 50%, would cause some overestimation of the  $NO_x$  flux and the compensation points.

In evaluating the reliability of the compensation point estimation, the effect of the assumed UV-induced emissions comes naturally under the spotlight. The model would have provided different results if it had not included the emissions. In the model, the internal NO<sub>y</sub> concentration was defined as proportional to the external concentration and the degree of stomatal opening, which suggests that with no NO<sub>y</sub> emission, the compensation point would be zero. At all concentrations above this, the flux would be deposition to the needles. Another way to acquire a zero net flux is to have zero  $a_1$  (the reaction rate at the surface) and  $a_2$  (rate of non-stomatal consumption), meaning no processes removing NO<sub>y</sub> would exist, however high the ambient concentration. This is not realistic, according to the current level of understanding of NO<sub>x</sub> uptake metabolism. Thus, the nonzero compensation points originated only from the emission assumption.

The compensation point would also rise above zero if the emissions were of metabolic origin. Metabolism was not included in the model used in this study, since it was not considered relevant for the pines at SMEAR II. It could, however, be implemented in the model in a manner similar to that of stomatal consumption (Eq. 6). The parameter would then describe the rate of an NO<sub>y</sub>-releasing process on the mesophyll surface. This process would maintain an internal NO<sub>y</sub> concentration that would equal the compensation point (if there were no surface reactions releasing NO<sub>y</sub>). Most likely, this NO<sub>y</sub> production would not be constant either, which suggests that the compensation point would also vary. The NO<sub>2</sub> emissions observed by others were of the same magnitude as the NO<sub>y</sub> emissions studied here, 0.01–0.05 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Hereid and Monson 2001, Geßler et al. 2002), indicating that they could govern the compensation point in a manner similar to that of the UV-induced NO<sub>y</sub> emissions.

42

The most extreme of the compensation point values obtained were high, more than 20 ppb. They should be tested under laboratory conditions, since a combination of high UV irradiance with near-zero stomatal aperture and high ambient NO<sub>y</sub> concentration does not occur in the field. The UV-induced NO<sub>y</sub> production is still a poorly understood phenomenon. It is not known whether the process actually would behave similarly at very high and low concentrations, which was one of the assumptions underlying the model. In case the UV-induced emissions are from a photochemical reaction of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>/HNO<sub>3</sub>, their magnitude could be affected by the amount of accumulated material on the needle surface, which in turn is affected by weather and time. The comparison between measured and modelled NO<sub>y</sub> fluxes (Fig. 19) may reflect this: the data were measured approximately one month later than those that were used for estimating the model parameters, thus the pine shoots had had time to accumulate more NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. NO<sub>y</sub> production inside the chambers may thus be higher than on the branches outside, since rain washes the latter. However, there are regions where NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> deposition is high and the climate dry; thus, the amount of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> accumulated on the leaf surfaces could be much higher than in the chambers at SMEAR II.

In conclusion, it seems an oversimplification to consider single constant compensation points of NO<sub>x</sub> exchange in plants, when it so obviously either varies or is zero, depending on whether  $NO_x$  production in or on the plant is expected or not. The  $NO_x$  exchange could rather be implemented in process models. There is a level of understanding on how the consumption of  $NO_x$  inside the plant occurs, since several studies have indicated NaR activity and that the apoplastic ascorbate is significant for removing NO<sub>x</sub> that has entered the plant stomata (Eller and Sparks 2006). The NO emissions of metabolic origin should also be studied more at branch or plant level to enable determination of their atmospheric significance. They seem to require accumulated  $NO_2^{-1}$  inside the plant leaves, and Wildt et al. (1997) observed that the emissions occurred, depending on the form of nitrogen the roots receive,  $NO_3^-$  or  $NH_4^+$ , even in same plant species. It would be interesting to know whether plants in general would begin to emit NO if they simply received enough  $NO_3$ . Thus, the compensation point of vegetation in an area would be dependent on the  $NO_3^-$  load in the soil, and it would increase since nitrogen deposition has been predicted to undergo future increase. This would also increase emissions from the leaf surface, since the amount of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> deposited would be higher.

#### Atmospheric implications

It is evident that when the airmass carries high concentrations of  $NO_y$  in Hyytiälä, vegetation acts as a sink for them. These occasions are rare, but the effect of trees should in any case be considered in air chemistry models.

If there were  $NO_y$  production on plant surfaces, it also would contribute to the atmospheric budget of  $NO_x$ . The review paper by Grannas et al. (2007) on photochemistry in snow discussed the fate of photo-generated  $NO_x$ . Apparently a portion of the emitted  $NO_x$  reacts rapidly to reform HNO<sub>3</sub>, which deposits rapidly back on the snow, the proportion depending on the conditions. Some studies have indicated that  $NO_x$  and HONO can largely escape to the atmosphere. In contrast, others observed that the circle was almost closed: once  $NO_x$  was released from photolysis, it was rapidly redeposited as HNO<sub>3</sub>.

The contribution of the UV-induced NO<sub>y</sub> emissions in the air concentrations at SMEAR II was roughly estimated by adding them in a new air chemistry model SOSA (M. Boy, personal communication). They were introduced as an NO<sub>2</sub> flux that comes from a flat surface 20 m in height, depending linearly on UV-A irradiance as 0.004 nmol W<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> × UV-

A (W m<sup>-2</sup>). This gave a maximum emission at strong UV-A around 0.2 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. They were compared with NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations measured at SMEAR II. For July 2003, the result of the comparison was that the NO<sub>2</sub> emitted from the trees would have formed less than 5% of the NO<sub>2</sub> observed at the lowest levels (up to 200 m in height). Thus, using this estimation, we suggest that locally the emissions are not very significant compared with other NO<sub>x</sub> sources.

Assuming an average NO<sub>y</sub> emission of 0.05 nmol s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> of forested area for 10 h d<sup>-1</sup>, results in a total emission of about 18 mmol d<sup>-1</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>, or 250 mg of nitrogen. The estimation of daytime HNO<sub>3</sub> deposition at SMEAR II resulted in 1–14 ng N m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Assuming an average HNO<sub>3</sub> deposition of 5 ng m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for those 10 h means 1.8 g of nitrogen deposited as HNO<sub>3</sub>. Thus, approximately 15% of the deposition would be released back to the air, but apparently part of it would soon be redeposited back on the surfaces.

On a global scale: if the emissions would occur throughout the year as estimated above,  $250 \text{ mg d}^{-1} \text{ ha}^{-1}$  of boreal forest over an area of app. 15 million km<sup>2</sup>, they would release 135 kt of nitrogen. Compared with the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions shown in Table 1, the emissions from trees would be 3% of those released from soils, 0.5% of those released in energy production and 0.3% of total N emitted as NO<sub>x</sub>. If they were extrapolated to all the world's forests (area 48 million km<sup>2</sup>), the percentages would increase to 8%, 2% and 1%. This is a large amount. Naturally, in large areas of the world the air concentrations of NO<sub>y</sub> are high, hence, the fluxes would be deposition, and the situation would be different when trees are covered by snow etc. In any case, the calculated values are so high that further studies are needed before the global significance of the UV-induced NO<sub>y</sub> emissions from trees can be determined.

#### Conclusions

Emission of NO<sub>x</sub> from plants is an inadequately known phenomenon. Plants are able to produce NO in their metabolism, and it has been suggested that part of this NO could escape from the plant, forming the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions that have sometimes been observed in flux measurements at near-zero ambient concentrations. These observations have been rare, either because NO<sub>x</sub> is not always emitted, or because interpreting the measurements is too complicated. However, it would be important to know the whole story about NO<sub>x</sub> or NO<sub>y</sub> emissions, since they have implications both for plant and atmospheric science.

The present study indicated that solar UV radiation releases reactive oxidized nitrogen,  $NO_y$ , from Scots pine shoots. The emissions most probably came from the needle surfaces, not from metabolism via the stomata. This was a novel observation and interesting for several reasons. In atmospheric chemistry, photochemical surface reactions producing  $NO_x$  on plant leaves have not been considered as an  $NO_x$  source, nor have they been taken into account in analysing leaf-level gas exchange in plants. Our estimations showed that with these UV-induced emissions from leaf surfaces, a plant would act as an  $NO_y$  source rather than a sink at ambient concentrations of several ppb, much higher than is usually believed. Rough calculations showed that the emissions could contribute a small percentage to the local  $NO_y$  concentrations, and form an unignorable source of nitrogen on a global scale. It is, however, too early to estimate these, since open questions still remain on the phenomenon.

That in this study the chamber measurements were performed at low ambient concentrations and under natural conditions, thus preparing the way for observing the emissions, was an advantage, but this was also the main complication. The artefact  $NO_v$ 

fluxes originating from the measurement system disturbed the analysis significantly, and the origin of the artefacts is still not completely known or under control. Solar UV radiation and contamination of the chamber surfaces clearly affected the chamber blank, but the role of transpiration requires further experimental work.

Although the chamber blanks, artefacts and non-specific  $NO_x$  analysers are problematic, the theory of nitrate photolysis generating  $NO_x$  and HONO on the needle surfaces is plausible. It is in accordance with the observations: the activity of the emissions when filtering away UV wavelengths is very similar to what has been observed on snow and glass surfaces. In general, the phenomenon appears very inorganic, with the emissions increasing when surfaces become dirty, always responding to UV radiation, and depending linearly on the irradiance.

This phenomenon must be taken into account in studying the  $NO_x$  or  $NO_y$  exchange of plants. Some of the other emission observations reported in the literature may also have been affected by surface reactions. When the metabolic processes are examined in further detail, the UV-induced emissions from surfaces generally bias the measurements. However, when the fluxes of  $NO_y$  are studied at the ecosystem level, these surface reactions are an inseparable part of the system and should be taken into account as part of the total flux.

## REFERENCES

- Aalto, T. 1998. Carbon dioxide exchange of Scots pine shoots as estimated by a biochemical model and cuvette field measurements. Silva Fennica 32(4): 321–337.
- Altimir, N., Vesala, T., Keronen, P., Kulmala, M. & Hari, P. 2002. Methodology for direct field measurements of ozone flux to foliage with shoot chambers. Atmospheric Environment 36: 19–29.
- Beine, H.J., Dominé, F., Simpson, W., Honrath, R.E., Sparapani, R., Zhou, X. & King, M. 2002. Snow-pile and chamber experiments during the Polar Sunrise Experiment 'Alert 2000': exploration of nitrogen chemistry. Atmospheric Environment 36 (15-16): 2707– 2719.
- —, Amoroso, A., Domine, F., King, M.D., Nardino, M., Ianniello, A. & France, J.L. 2006. Surprisingly small HONO emissions from snow surfaces at Browning Pass, Antarctica. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 6: 2569–2580.
- Bäck, J. & Huttunen, S. 1992. Structural responses of needles of conifer seedlings to acid rain treatment. New Phytologist 120: 77–88.
- Carter, W.P.L. & Lurmann, F.W. 1991. Evaluation of a detailed gas-phase atmospheric reaction mechanism using environmental chamber data. Atmospheric Environment 25A(12): 2771–2806.
- Cotter, E.S.N., Jones, A.E., Wolff, E.W. & Bauguitte, S.J-B. 2003. What controls photochemical NO and NO<sub>2</sub> production from snow? Laboratory investigation assessing the wavelength and temperature dependence. Journal of Geophysical Research 108(D4): 4147, doi: 10.1029/2002JD002602.
- Crawford, N.M. 2006. Mechanisms for nitric oxide synthesis in plants. Journal of Experimental Botany 57 (3): 471–478.
- Dibb, J.E., Arsenault, M., Peterson, M.C. & Honrath, R.E. 2002. Fast nitrogen oxide photochemistry in Summit, Greenland snow. Atmospheric Environment 36: 2501–2511.
- Doskey, P.V., Kotamarthi, V.R., Fukui, Y., Cook, D.R., Breitbeil III, F.W. & Weseley, M.L. 2004. Air-surface exchange of peroxyacetyl nitrate at a grassland site. Journal of Geophysical Research 109, doi: 10.1029/2004JD004533.
- EEA. 2007. Annual European Community LRTAP Convention, Emission Inventory report 1990–2005. EEA, Copenhagen, 88 pp.
- Eller, A.S.D. & Sparks, J.P. 2006. Predicting leaf-level fluxes of O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>: the relative roles of diffusion and biochemical processes. Plant Cell and Environment 29 (9): 1742–1750.
- Fehsenfeld, F.C., Dickerson, R.R., Hubler, G., Luke, W.T., Nunnermacker, L.J., Williams, E.J., Roberts, J.M., Calvert, J.G., Curran, C.M., Delany, A.C., Eubank, C.S., Fahey, D.W., Fried, A., Gandrud, B.W., Langford, A.O., Murphy, P.C., Norton, R.B., Pickering, K.E. & Ridley, B.A. 1987. A ground-based intercomparison of NO, NO<sub>x</sub>, and NO<sub>y</sub> measurement techniques. Journal of Geophysical Research 92 (D12): 14710– 14722.
- Galloway, J.N., Dentener, F.J., Capone, D.G., Boyer, E.W., Howarth, R.W., Seitzinger, S.P., Asner, G.P., Cleveland, C.C., Green, P.A., Holland, E.A., Karl, D.M., Michaels, A.F., Porter, J.H., Townsend, A.R. & Vorosmarty, C.J. 2004. Nitrogen cycles: past, present and future. Biogeochemistry 70 (2): 153–226.
- Gerboles, M., Lagler, F., Rembges, D. & Brun, C. 2003. Assessment of uncertainty of NO<sub>2</sub> measurements by the chemiluminescence method and discussion of the quality objective of the NO<sub>2</sub> European Directive. Journal of Environmental Monitoring 5 (4): 529–540.

- Geßler, A., Rienks, M. & Rennenberg, H. 2000. NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes between beech trees and the atmosphere – correlation with climatic and physiological parameters. New Phytologist 147: 539–560.
- —, Rienks M. & Rennenberg, H. 2002. Stomatal uptake and cuticular adsorption contribute to dry deposition of NH3 and NO<sub>2</sub> to needles of adult spruce (*Picea abies*) trees. New Phytologist 156: 179–194.
- Goldenbaum, G.C. & Dickerson, R.R. 1993. Nitric-oxide production by lightning discharges. Journal of Geophysical Research 98 (D10): 18333–18338.
- Grannas, A.M., Jones, A.E., Dibb, J., Ammann, M., Anastasio, C., Beine, H.J., Bergin, M., Bottenheim, J., Boxe, C.S., Carver, G., Chen, G., Crawford, J.H., Dominé, F., Frey, M.M., Guzmán, M.I., Heard, D.E., Helmig, D., Hoffmann, M.R., Honrath, R.E., Huey, L.G., Hutterli, M., Jacobi, H.W., Klán, P., Lefer, B., McConnell, J., Plane, J., Sander, R., Savarino, J., Shepson, P.B., Simpson, W.R., Sodeau, J.R., von Glasow, R., Weller, R., Wolff, E.W. & Zhu, T. 2007. An overview of snow photochemistry: evidence, mechanisms and impacts. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 7: 4329–4373.
- Grennfelt, P., Bengtson, C. & Skärby, L. 1983. Deposition and uptake of atmospheric nitrogen oxides in a forest ecosystem. Aquilo. Serie Botanica 19: 208–221.
- Gruber, N.& Galloway J.N. 2008. An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. Nature 451: 293–296.
- Gut, A., Scheibe, M., Rottenberger, S., Rummel, U., Welling, M., Ammann, C., Kirkman, G.A., Kuhn, U., Meixner, F.X., Kesselmeier, J., Lehmann, B.E., Schmidt, W., Muller, E. & Piedade, M.T.F. 2002. Exchange fluxes of NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> at soil and leaf surfaces in an Amazonian rain forest. Journal of Geophysical Research 107 (D20), Article Number: 8060.
- Hanson, P.J., Rott, K., Taylor, G.E. Jr, Gunderson, C.A., Lindberg, S.E. & Ross-Todd, B.M. 1989. NO<sub>2</sub> deposition to elements representative of a forest landscape. Atmospheric Environment 23: 1783–1794.
- Hari, P., Keronen, P., Bäck, J., Altimir, N., Linkosalo, T., Pohja, T., Kulmala, M. & Vesala, T. 1999. An improvement of the method for calibrating measurements of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> flux. Plant, Cell and Environment 22: 1297–1301.
- & Kulmala, M. 2005. Station for Measuring Ecosystem Atmosphere Relations (SMEAR II). Boreal Environment Research 10 (5): 315–322.
- & Mäkelä, A. 2003. Annual pattern of photosynthesis in Scots pine in the boreal zone. Tree Physiology 23(3): 145–156.
- Hereid, D.P. & Monson, R.K. 2001. Nitrogen oxide fluxes between corn (Zea mays L.) leaves and the atmosphere. Atmospheric Environment 35: 975–983.
- Hill, A.C. 1971. Vegetation: A sink for atmospheric pollutants. Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association 21(6): 341–346.
- Holland, E.A., Braswell, B.H., Lamarque, J.F., Townsend, A., Sulzman, J., Muller, J.F., Dentener, F., Brasseur, G., Levy, H., Penner, J.E. & Roelofs, G.J. 1997. Variations in the predicted spatial distribution of atmospheric nitrogen deposition and their impact on carbon uptake by terrestrial ecosystems. Journal of Geophysical Research 102 (D13): 15849–15866.
- Honrath, R.E., Peterson, M.C., Guo, S., Dibb, J.E., Shepson, P.B. & Campbell, B. 1999. Evidence of NO<sub>x</sub> production within or upon ice particles in the Greenland snowpack. Geophysical Research Letters 26(6): 695–698.
- —, Guo, S., Peterson, M.C., Dziobak, M.P., Dibb, J.E. & Arsenault, M.A. 2000. Photochemical production of gas phase NO<sub>x</sub> from ice crystal NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Journal of Geophysical Research 105 (D19): 24183–24190.

- —, Lua, Y., Petersona, M.C., Dibb, J.E., Arsenault, M.A., Cullenc, N.J. & Steffen, K. 2002. Vertical fluxes of NO<sub>x</sub>, HONO, and HNO<sub>3</sub> above the snowpack at Summit, Greenland. Atmospheric Environment 36 (15-16): 2629–2640.
- Horii, C.V., Munger, J.W., Wofsy, S.C., Zahniser, M., Nelson, D. & McManus, J.B. 2004. Fluxes of nitrogen oxides over a temperate deciduous forest. Journal of Geophysical Research 109, D08305, doi: 10.1029/2003JD004326.
- IPCC. 2000. Emission scenarios. Nebojsa Nakicenovic and Rob Swart (Eds.). Cambridge University Press, UK. pp 570
- 2007: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.)). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 996 pp.
- IPCS. 1997. Nitrogen oxides. Graham, J.A., Grant, L.D., Folinsbee, L.J., Kotchmar, D.J. & Garner, J.H.B. (Eds.). Environmental Health Criteria 188. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Jacob, D.J. & Wofsy, S.C. 1990. Budgets of reactive nitrogen, hydrocarbons, and ozone over the Amazon forest during the wet season. Journal of Geophysical Research 95(D10): 16737–16754.
- Janson, R., Rosman, K., Karlsson, A. & Hansson, H.C. 2001. Biogenic emissions and gaseous precursors to forest aerosols. Tellus 53B: 423–440.
- Johansson, C. 1987. Pine forest: a negligible sink for atmospheric  $NO_x$  in rural Sweden. Tellus 39B: 426–438.
- Kesik, M., Ambus, P., Baritz, R., Bruggemann, N.B., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Damm, M., Duyzer, J., Horvath, L., Kiese, R., Kitzler, B., Leip, A., Li, C., Pihlatie, M., Pilegaard, K., Seufert, G., Simpson, D., Skiba, U., Smiatek, G., Vesala, T. & Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S. 2005. Inventories of N<sub>2</sub>O and NO emissions from European forest soils. Biogeosciences 2 (4): 353–375.
- Killus, J.P. & Whitten, G.Z. 1990. Background reactivity in smog chambers. International Journal of Chemical Kinetics 22: 547–575.
- Kirkman, G.A., Gut, A., Ammann, C., Gatti, L.V., Cordova, A.M., Moura, M.A.L., Andreae, M.O. & Meixner, F.X. 2002. Surface exchange of nitric oxide, nitrogen dioxide, and ozone at a cattle pasture in Rondônia, Brazil. Journal of Geophysical Research 107(D20), 8083, doi: 10.1029/2001JD000523.
- Klepper, L. 1979. Nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from herbicidetreated soybean plants. Atmospheric Environment 13: 537–542.
- Kolari, P., Keronen, P. & Hari, P. The accuracy of transpiration measurements with a dynamic cuvette system. In: Kulmala M, Salonen M, Ruuskanen MT (Eds.). Research unit Physics, Chemistry and Biology of Atmospheric Composition and Climate Change: II Progress Report and Proceedings of Seminar in Helsinki 14.-16.4.2004. Report Series in Aerosol Science 68: 112–114. ISBN 952-5027-48-1.
- Koshland Jr., D.E. 1992. The molecule of the year. Science 258: 1861.
- Kulmala, M., Korhonen, P., Laaksonen, A. & Vesala, T. 1995. Changes in cloud properties due to NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Geophysical Research Letters 22 (3): 239–242
- —, Hienola, J., Pirjola, L., Vesala, T., Shimmo, M., Altimir, N. & Hari, P. 1999. A model for NO<sub>x</sub>-O<sub>3</sub>-terpene chemistry in chamber measurements of plant gas exchange. Atmospheric Environment 33: 2145–2156.
- —, Rannik, Ü., Pirjola, L., Dal Maso, M., Karimäki, J., Asmi, A., Jäppinen, A., Karhu, V., Korhonen, H., Malvikko, S-P., Puustinen, A., Raittila, J., Romakkaniemi, S., Suni, T., Yli-Koivisto, S., Paatero, J., Hari, P. & Vesala, T. 2000. Characterization of

atmospheric trace gas and aerosol concentrations at forest sites in southern and northern Finland using back trajectories. Boreal Environment Research 5: 315–336.

- Lamattina, L., Garcia-Mata, C., Graziano, M. & Pagnussat, G. 2003. Nitric oxide: the versatility of an extensive signal molecule. Annual Review of Plant Biology 54: 109– 136.
- Lendzian, K.J. & Kerstiens, G. 1988. Interactions between plant cuticles and gaseous air pollutants. Aspects of Applied Biology 17: 97–104.
- Lerdau, M.T., Munger, J.W. & Jacob, D.J. 2000. The NO<sub>2</sub> flux conundrum. Science 298: 2291–2293.
- Livingston, G.P. & Hutchinson, G.L. 1995. Enclosure-based measurement of trace gas exchange: applications and sources of error. In: Matson, P.A., Harriss, R.C. (Eds.), Biogenic Trace Gases: Measuring Emissions from Soil and Water. Blackwell Science, Cambridge, pp. 14–50.
- Logan, J.A. 1983. Nitrogen oxides in the troposphere global and regional budgets. Journal of Geophysical Research 88 (NC15): 785–807.
- Ludwig, J., Meixner, F.X., Vogel, B. & Forstner, J. 2001. Soil-air exchange of nitric oxide: An overview of processes, environmental factors, and modeling studies. Biogeochemistry 52 (3): 225–257.
- Mack, J. & Bolton, J.R. 1999. Photochemistry of nitrite and nitrate in aqueous solution: a review. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 128: 1–13.
- Magnani, F., Mencuccini, M., Borghetti, M., Berbigier, P., Berninger, F., Delzon, S., Grelle, A., Hari, P., Jarvis, P.G., Kolari, P., Kowalski, A.S., Lankreijer, H., Law, B.E., Lindroth, A., Loustau, D., Manca, G., Moncrieff, J.B., Rayment, M., Tedeschi, V., Valentini, R. & Grace, J. 2007. The human footprint in the carbon cycle of temperate and boreal forests. Nature 447: 849–851.
- Massman, W.J. 1998. A review of the molecular diffusivities of H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH4, CO, O<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, NO, and NO<sub>2</sub> in air, O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> near STP. Atmospheric Environment 32, No 6: 1111–1127.
- Meyer, C., Lea, U.S., Provan, F., Kaiser, W.M. & Lillo, C. 2005. Is nitrate reductase a major player in the plant NO (nitric oxide) game? Photosynthesis Research 83: 181– 189.
- Moxim, W.J., Levy II, H. & Kasibhatla, P.S. 1996. Simulated global tropospheric PAN: Its transport and impact on NO<sub>x</sub>. Journal of Geophysical Research 101(D7): 12621–12638.
- Nobel, P.S. 1991. Physicochemical and environmental plant physiology. San Diego, FL, Academic Press.
- Papen, H., Geßler, A., Zumbusch, E. & Rennenberg, H. 2002. Chemolithoautotrophic nitrifiers in the phyllosphere of a spruce ecosystem receiving high atmospheric nitrogen input. Current Microbiology 44: 56–60.
- Raivonen, M. 2000. Effect of UV radiation on the NO<sub>x</sub> exchange of Scots pine in ambient conditions (in Finnish). MSc thesis, Department of Forest Ecology, University of Helsinki.
- Ramazan, K.A., Syomin, D. & Finlayson-Pitts, B.J. 2004. The photochemical production of HONO during the heterogeneous hydrolysis of NO<sub>2</sub>. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 6: 3836–3843.
- Ramge, P., Badeck, F.W., Plochl, M. & Kohlmaier, G.H. 1993. Apoplastic antioxidants as decisive elimination factors within the uptake process of nitrogen-dioxide into leaf tissues. New Phytologist 125 (4): 771–785.
- Rondón, A. & Granat, L. 1994. Studies on the dry deposition of NO<sub>2</sub> to coniferous species at low NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Tellus 46B: 339–352.

- —, Johansson, C. & Granat, L. 1993. Dry deposition of nitrogen dioxide and ozone to coniferous forests. Journal of Geophysical Research 98(D3): 5159–5172.
- Ryerson, T.B., Williams, E.J. & Fehsenfeld, F.C. 2000. An efficient photolysis system for fast-response NO<sub>2</sub> measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research 105 (D21): 26447– 26461.
- Schimang, R., Folkers, A., Kleffmann, J., Kleist, E., Miebach, M. & Wildt, J. 2006. Uptake of gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) by several plant species. Atmospheric Environment 40: 1324–1335.
- Seinfeld, J.H. & Pandis, S.N. 1998. Atmospheric chemistry and physics. From air pollution to climate change. Wiley, New York, 1326 pp.
- Sievering, H., Kelly, T., McConvillea, G., Seiboldc, C. & Turnipseed, A. 2001. Nitric acid dry deposition to conifer forests: Niwot Ridge spruce–fir–pine study. Atmospheric Environment 35(22): 3851–3859.
- Sparks, J.P., Monson, R.K., Sparks, K.L. & Lerdau, M. 2001. Leaf uptake of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) in a tropical wet forest: implications for tropospheric chemistry. Oecologia 127: 214–221.
- —, Roberts, J.M. & Monson, R.K. 2003. The uptake of gaseous organic nitrogen by leaves: A significant global nitrogen transfer process. Geophysical Research Letters, 30(23), doi: 10.1029/2003GLO18578.
- Steinbacher, M., Zellweger, C., Schwarzenbach, B., Bugmann, B., Buchmann, B., Ordóñez, C., Prevot, A.S.H. & Hueglin, C. 2007. Nitrogen oxide measurements at rural sites in Switzerland: Bias of conventional measurement techniques. Journal of Geophysical Research 112 (D11307), doi:10.1029/2006JD007971.
- Sumner, A.L., Menke, E.J., Dubowski, Y., Newberg, J.T., Penner, R.M., Hemminger, J.C., Wingen, L.M., Brauers, T. & Finlayson-Pitts, B.J. 2004. The nature of water on surfaces of laboratory systems and implications for heterogeneous chemistry in the troposphere. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 6: 604–613.
- Teklemariam, T.A. & Sparks, J.P. 2004. Gaseous fluxes of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) into plant leaves. Plant Cell and Environment 27 (9): 1149–1158.
- & Sparks, J.P. 2006. Leaf fluxes of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> in four herbaceous plant species: The role of ascorbic acid. Atmospheric Environment 40 (12): 2235–2244.
- Thoene, B., Schröder, P., Papen, H., Egger, A. & Rennenberg, H. 1991. Absorption of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> by spruce (Picea abies L. Karst.) trees I. NO<sub>2</sub> influx and its correlation with nitrate reduction. New Phytologist 117: 575–585.
- —, Rennenberg, H. & Weber, P. 1996. Absorption of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> by spruce (Picea abies) trees. II. Parameterization of NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes by controlled dynamic chamber experiments. New Phytologist 134: 257–266.
- Tischner, R. 2000. Nitrate uptake and reduction in higher and lower plants. Plant, Cell and Environment 23(10): 1005–1024.
- Weber, P. & Rennenberg, H. 1996. Dependency of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) fluxes to wheat (Triticum aestivum l.) leaves from NO<sub>2</sub> concentration, light intensity, temperature and relative humidity determined from controlled dynamic chamber experiments. Atmospheric Environment 30(17): 3001–3009.
- Wellburn, A.R. 1990. Why are atmospheric oxides of nitrogen usually phytotoxic and not alternative fertilizers? New Phytologist 115 (3): 395-429.
- Wildt, J., Kley, D., Rockel, A., Rockel, P. & Segschneider, H.J. 1997. Emission of NO from several higher plant species. Journal of Geophysical Research 102: 5919–5927.
- Vitousek, P.M., Aber, J.D., Howarth, R.W., Likens, G.E., Matson, P.A., Schindler, D.W., Schlesinger, W.H. & Tilman, D.G. 1997. Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: Sources and consequences. Ecological Applications 7 (3): 737–750.

- Yoneyama, H. & Sasakawa, T. 1979. Transformation of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> absorbed in spinach leaves. Plant and Cell Physiology 20 (1): 263–266.
- Zador, J., Turanyi, T., Wirtz, K. & Pilling, M.J. 2006. Measurement and investigation of chamber radical sources in the European Photoreactor (EUPHORE). Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry 55 (2): 147–166.
- Zhou, X., He, Y., Huang, G., Thornberry, T.D., Carroll, M.A. & Bertman, S.B. 2002. Photochemical production of nitrous acid on glass sample manifold surface. Geophysical Research Letters 29(14), 1681, doi:10.1029/2002GLO15080.
- —, Gao, H., He, Y., Huang, G., Bertman, S.B., Civerolo, K. & Schwab, J. 2003. Nitric acid photolysis on surfaces in low-NO<sub>x</sub> environments: Significant atmospheric implications. Geophysical Research Letters 30, doi: 10.1029/2003GLO18620.